International Journal on Science and Technology (IJSAT)

E-ISSN: 2229-7677 ● Website: www.ijsat.org ● Email: editor@ijsat.org

Delay-Induced Hopf Bifurcation and Periodic Dynamics in an Epidemic Model with Nonlinear Incidence

Neeraj Kumar

Department of Mathematics
Government Degree College Budaun (UP) 243601, India.
E-mail: neerajmath2010@gmail.com

Abstract:
Time delays are among the most natural sources of oscillation in epidemic models: incubation, latency, and the delayed response of the transmission process itself can destabilize an otherwise stable endemic state and force the disease to persist in sustained periodic waves. In this article we study a susceptible–infected–recovered (SIR) model in which the force of infection is subject to a discrete transmission delay and to a saturated nonlinear incidence rate of the form $\beta SI/(1+\alpha I)$. The basic reproduction number is computed, and the unique endemic equilibrium is shown to be locally asymptotically stable when the delay vanishes. Linearization at the endemic state leads to the transcendental characteristic equation $\lambda^2 + p\lambda + q + (r\lambda+s)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$, whose purely imaginary roots are located explicitly and converted into a sequence of critical delays. A transversality condition is verified, and a Hopf bifurcation theorem for the model is proved. The direction and the stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions are determined by the normal form method on the center manifold. A numerical example illustrates the theory: the endemic equilibrium is stable for small delays, loses stability through a subcritical Hopf bifurcation at the first critical delay, and the bifurcating periodic orbits are unstable with decreasing period. The results surveyed and proved here are classical; detailed background may be found in the references.

Keywords: time delay; nonlinear incidence; SIR epidemic model; Hopf bifurcation; stability switch; periodic solution; normal form; center manifold.

1. Introduction

The mathematical theory of epidemics began with the compartmental models of Kermack and McKendrick [1], in which a homogeneously mixing population is divided into susceptibles, infectives, and recovereds, and the fate of an outbreak is decided by a threshold quantity. In the classical formulation the processes of infection and removal act instantaneously: a susceptible who meets an infective today is himself infectious today. Real diseases do not behave this way. There is an incubation or latent period between infection and infectiousness, a delay before public-health interventions take effect, and a lag in the reporting chain through which the force of infection is observed. Each of these mechanisms is most faithfully represented by an explicit time delay, and the resulting functional

differential equations can behave very differently from their undelayed counterparts: delays are a classical source of instability and of sustained oscillation in population models.

A second classical refinement concerns the incidence rate, the rate at which new infections are produced. The bilinear law βSI assumes that the number of contacts grows without bound with the population, whereas in reality crowding, behavioural change, and intervention saturate the transmission process. Capasso and Serio [2] introduced the saturated incidence rate $\beta SI/(1+\alpha I)$ after the cholera epidemic in Bari, and Liu, Levin and Iwasa [3] classified a broad family of nonlinear incidences. The dynamical consequences are far from cosmetic: Ruan and Wang [4] showed that nonlinear incidence can create multiple endemic states, Bogdanov–Takens bifurcations and limit cycles already in the undelayed case, Xiao and Ruan [5] analyzed a nonmonotone incidence modelling the psychological effect of a severe epidemic, and Korobeinikov [11] developed Lyapunov functions establishing global properties of models with nonlinear incidence.

Putting delays and nonlinear incidence together is therefore natural, and it has generated a substantial literature. Cooke and van den Driessche [6] analyzed an SEIRS model with two delays, latent and immune, and showed how the characteristic equation governs the local dynamics; Takeuchi, Ma and Beretta [7] and Ma, Song and Takeuchi [8] established global asymptotic properties of delayed SIR models. Because the characteristic equation of a delay system is transcendental, increasing the delay can move roots back and forth across the imaginary axis, producing the celebrated stability switches analyzed geometrically by Beretta and Kuang [9]. Complementary global results were obtained by McCluskey [14,15] for SIR models with discrete and distributed delays and general nonlinear incidence, by Huang and Takeuchi [16], by Enatsu, Nakata and Muroya [17], and by Xu and Ma [12,13].

When a pair of characteristic roots crosses the imaginary axis with nonzero speed as the delay varies, the equilibrium undergoes a Hopf bifurcation and a family of periodic solutions is born [10]. Whether the bifurcation is supercritical or subcritical, whether the bifurcating cycles are stable, and how their period varies are decided by the normal form on the center manifold, computed by the algorithm of Hassard, Kazarinoff and Wan [10]; the functional-differential background is covered in Hale and Verduyn Lunel [18]. The present article works this programme out completely for an SIR model with a delayed saturated incidence. Reviews of the modelling context may be found in Hethcote [19], and the next-generation construction of the basic reproduction number in van den Driessche and Watmough [20].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the model, its equilibria, and the basic reproduction number. Section 3 linearizes at the endemic equilibrium and derives the characteristic equation. Section 4 locates the purely imaginary roots, computes the critical delays, verifies transversality, and states the Hopf bifurcation theorem. Section 5 carries out the normal form reduction and determines the direction and stability of the bifurcation. Section 6 illustrates the theory with a numerical example, and Section 7 concludes.

2. The model and its equilibria

The use of nonlinear incidence functions in epidemic models has a long history. In general, epidemic models are based on the bilinear incidence (βSI) that increases with the number of infectives. However, this assumption can become unrealistic when behaviour changes, contacts are less frequent, crowding or other inhibitory factors are important. To account for these, several nonlinear incidence functions have been proposed and treated. In particular, saturated incidence functions of the form ($\beta SI/(1+\alpha I)$) can be a simple way to explain why the effective transmission rate per infective increases as the number of

infectives increases. Such nonlinearity has been incorporated in epidemic models to investigate how saturation affects disease persistence, endemic equilibria and stability [2,3,5,6]. Another important mechanism by which epidemic dynamics can differ significantly from those of ordinary differential-equation models is time delays. A delay may result from biological latency, incubation times, delayed infectiousness, or the time an infection generated by an infectious individual takes to go on to infect many other infected people. Delay differential-equation epidemic models can therefore have dynamical behaviour that is not present in the zero-delay case, like stability switches, sustained oscillations or Hopf bifurcations. Early work on delayed epidemic systems showed that including biologically meaningful delays can completely alter the stability of endemic states [7-9]. They showed that the interaction between nonlinear incidence and transmission or incubation delays may lead to oscillatory epidemic dynamics and multiple stability switches [10-13]. The combination of saturation and delay is of special interest since the two mechanisms influence epidemic dynamics in profoundly different ways. Saturation results in a nonlinear limitation on transmission with increasing prevalence, while delay introduces a phase lag into the feedback between the infective population and new infections. Their interaction can therefore destabilize an endemic equilibrium that is stable in the absence of delay. This provides a natural mathematical context for studying delay-induced Hopf bifurcation (i.e., the phenomenon where two complex conjugate characteristic roots cross the imaginary axis with the change in delay parameter). The resulting periodic solutions can be interpreted as recurrent epidemic waves caused purely by the delayed transmission mechanism. We have designed this model to study this interaction and to find the critical delay and the critical frequency at which the endemic equilibrium is stable. For delayed epidemic systems, the characteristic equation is transcendental because the delay appears through exponential terms such as \(e^{-\lambda\tau}\). In this case, the traditional polynomial stability conditions are not valid. A standard way to look for purely imaginary characteristic roots \(\lambda=i\omega\), to find the critical frequencies and the key delay, and then we do so on the basis of a transversality condition to show which Hopf bifurcation occurs. This analytical approach has been widely used in the study of delayed epidemic models and provides a systematic route from local stability analysis to the existence of periodic solutions [14-19]. In the present work, it is integrated with a center-manifold and normal-form analysis to determine not only the bifurcation but also the direction and stability of the newly developing periodic solutions.

We consider a population divided into susceptible $S(t)$, infective $I(t)$, and recovered $R(t)$ individuals. New susceptibles are recruited at the constant rate Λ, all classes suffer natural mortality at rate μ, and infectives recover at rate γ. The force of infection is delayed by τ: infections produced at time t result from contacts made τ units of time in the past, and the incidence is saturated. The model is

$$\begin{aligned} S'(t) &= \Lambda - \mu S(t) - \frac{\beta S(t-\tau) I(t-\tau)}{1+\alpha I(t-\tau)} \\ I'(t) &= \frac{\beta S(t-\tau) I(t-\tau)}{1+\alpha I(t-\tau)} - (\mu+\gamma) I(t) \\ R'(t) &= \gamma I(t) - \mu R(t) \end{aligned} \qquad (1)$$

with $\Lambda, \mu, \beta, \alpha, \gamma > 0$ and delay $\tau \geq 0$. The parameter β is the transmission coefficient and $\alpha \geq 0$ measures the saturation, or inhibition, effect: when $\alpha = 0$ the incidence reduces to the bilinear law βSI, while for $\alpha > 0$ the per-infective transmission rate saturates as I grows [2,3]. The delay τ is a

transmission delay, accounting for the latent interval between an infectious contact and the moment at which the secondary infection is registered in the force of infection.

Let $N(t) = S(t) + I(t) + R(t)$. Adding the three equations gives $N'(t) = \Lambda - \mu N(t) - \gamma I(t) \leq \Lambda - \mu N(t)$, so that $limsup t \to_\infty N(t) \leq \Lambda/\mu$: solutions are bounded. If the initial history is nonnegative and not identically zero on $[-\tau, 0]$, a standard comparison argument shows that $S(t), I(t), R(t)$ remain nonnegative for $t \geq 0$; the nonnegative orthant is positively invariant, and with $R(t)$ slaved to $I(t)$ it suffices to study the (S, I)-subsystem.

System (1) always admits the disease-free equilibrium $E_0 = (\Lambda/\mu, 0, 0)$. Linearizing the I-equation at E_0 gives the basic reproduction number, in the next-generation sense of [20],

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta \Lambda}{\mu(\mu + \gamma)} \tag{2}$$

the expected number of secondary infections produced by a single infective in a wholly susceptible population. When $R_0 > 1$ there is in addition a unique endemic equilibrium $E^* = (S^*, I^*, R^*)$ with

$$S^* = \frac{(\mu + \gamma)(1 + \alpha I^*)}{\beta}$$
$$I^* = \frac{\mu(R_0 - 1)}{\mu\alpha + \beta}, \quad R^* = \frac{\gamma I^*}{\mu} \tag{3}$$

obtained from $\beta S^*/(1 + \alpha I^*) = \mu + \gamma$ and $\Lambda = \mu S^* + (\mu + \gamma) I^*$. The disease-free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable for $R_0 < 1$ and for every delay $\tau \geq 0$: indeed, the nontrivial characteristic root satisfies $\lambda + (\mu + \gamma) - \beta(\Lambda/\mu) e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$, and $Re\, \lambda \geq 0$ would imply $|\lambda + (\mu + \gamma)| \geq \mu + \gamma > \beta\Lambda/\mu \geq |\beta(\Lambda/\mu) e^{-\lambda\tau}|$, a contradiction. It is unstable for $R_0 > 1$. Global stability of E_0 for $R_0 < 1$ follows by comparison arguments as in [12,14]. Henceforth we assume $R_0 > 1$ and study the endemic equilibrium.

3. Linearization and the characteristic equation

The local dynamics of a delayed epidemic system are governed by the spectrum of the linearized delay differential equation around the equilibrium under consideration. In epidemic models in differential equations where the linearization leads to a polynomial characteristic equation, the delay introduces exponential terms in the spectral parameter. Hence, the characteristic equation has infinitely many roots and stability can be found by checking where this infinite spectrum is in the complex plane. This is the main feature of the delay-induced destabilization of epidemic systems and the mathematical basis of stability switches and Hopf bifurcation in epidemic models [14–17]. In epidemic systems with delayed transmission, the linearization of the nonlinear incidence term is important as the infective variable appears at a past time while the susceptible variable is evaluated at the current time. A first-order Taylor expansion of the incidence function about the endemic equilibrium reduces the nonlinear delayed system to a linear functional differential equation which has both present-state and delayed-state terms as part of its characteristic equation. The characteristic equation has the form of a polynomial in the eigenvalue multiplied by an exponential factor ($e^{-\lambda\tau}$). Such characteristic equations have been extensively used to study how the effects of increasing biological or epidemiological delays can move characteristic roots along the imaginary axis and hence change the stability of endemic equilibrium [8,12,15,18]. A natural way of identifying this loss of stability is to introduce a purely imaginary root ($\lambda = i\omega$) into the characteristic equation and then to break the characteristic equation into real and imaginary parts. The

algebraic relations are used to determine the frequencies of oscillations to be allowed and the critical value of the delay. If a conjugate pair of simple roots crosses the imaginary axis with nonzero speed as the delay varies, then the transversality condition of Hopf bifurcation is satisfied. This approach has become a standard way of establishing periodic oscillations of the delay in epidemiological models [16–19]. In this paper, we apply this spectral framework to the endemic equilibrium of the saturated-incidence SIR system, and the nonlinear terms are kept in for the center-manifold and normal-form analysis.

Put $u(t) = S(t) - S^*$ and $v(t) = I(t) - I^*$. Since the R-equation is linear and slaved to I, the stability of E^* is decided by the (u, v)-system. Expanding the delayed incidence about E^* gives, with

$$m = \frac{\beta I^*}{1 + \alpha I^*}, \quad n = \frac{\beta S^*}{(1 + \alpha I^*)^2} \tag{4}$$

the linearized delay system

$$\begin{aligned} u'(t) &= -\mu u(t) - m u(t-\tau) - n v(t-\tau) \\ v'(t) &= m u(t-\tau) + n v(t-\tau) - (\mu + \gamma) v(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The coefficients m and n are the marginal effects, at equilibrium, of delayed susceptibles and delayed infectives on the force of infection; the saturation parameter α enters through n, which is decreasing in α. Seeking exponential solutions $(u, v) = e^{\lambda t}(c_1, c_2)$ yields the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^2 + p\lambda + q + (r\lambda + s)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$p = 2\mu + \gamma, q = \mu(\mu + \gamma), r = m - n, s = m(\mu + \gamma) - n\mu. \tag{7}$$

Equation (7) is transcendental: besides the finitely many roots contributed by the polynomial part, the exponential factor generates infinitely many characteristic roots, and their location as τ varies governs the stability [18]. For $\tau = 0$ the equation reduces to the quadratic

$$\lambda^2 + (p + r)\lambda + (q + s) = 0 \tag{8}$$

with $p + r = 2\mu + \gamma + m - n > 0$ and $q + s = (\mu + \gamma)(\mu + m) - n\mu > 0$ whenever E^* exists; by the Routh–Hurwitz criterion both roots have negative real parts. We record this as our starting point.

Proposition 1. Assume $R_0 > 1$. Then the endemic equilibrium E^* of (1) is locally asymptotically stable for $\tau = 0$.

4. Purely imaginary roots and the Hopf bifurcation

Stability can be lost only when a characteristic root crosses the imaginary axis. Put $\lambda = i\omega$ with $\omega > 0$ in (7). Since $e^{-i\omega\tau} = \cos\omega\tau - i\sin\omega\tau$, separating real and imaginary parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} s\cos\omega\tau + r\omega\sin\omega\tau &= \omega^2 - q \\ r\omega\cos\omega\tau - s\sin\omega\tau &= -p\omega \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Squaring and adding eliminates the trigonometric functions:

$$\omega^4 + (p^2 - 2q - r^2)\omega^2 + (q^2 - s^2) = 0 \tag{10}$$

With $z = \omega^2$ this is the quadratic

$$z^2 + (p^2 - 2q - r^2)z + (q^2 - s^2) = 0 \tag{11}$$

whose positive roots are the squares of the crossing frequencies. Suppose $z = {\omega^0}^2 > 0$ is such a root and put $\omega = \omega_0$. Solving the linear system (10) for $\cos\omega_0\tau$ and $\sin\omega_0\tau$ gives

$$\cos\omega_0\tau = \frac{s({\omega_0}^2 - q) - rp{\omega_0}^2}{s^2 + r^2{\omega_0}^2} \tag{12}$$

$$\sin \omega_0 \tau = \frac{sp\omega_0 + r\omega_0({\omega_0}^2 - q)}{s^2 + r^2{\omega_0}^2}$$

and hence a sequence of critical delays

$$\tau_k = \frac{1}{\omega_0}\left(\arccos \frac{s({\omega_0}^2 - q) - rp{\omega_0}^2}{s^2 + r^2{\omega_0}^2} + 2k\pi, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\right) \qquad (13)$$

with the branch of the inverse cosine chosen so that the sign in the second relation of (12) is respected. At each τ_k the characteristic equation admits the simple pair $\lambda = \pm i\omega_0$. Let $\lambda(\tau)$ denote the branch of roots with $\lambda(\tau_k) = i\omega_0$. Differentiating (7) implicitly gives

$$\frac{d\lambda}{d\tau} = \frac{\lambda(r\lambda+s)e^{-\lambda\tau}}{2\lambda+p - re^{-\lambda\tau} - \tau(r\lambda+s)e^{-\lambda\tau}} \qquad (14)$$

and the standard computation [6,9] yields the transversality condition

$$sign\{dRe\lambda/d\tau_{\tau=\tau_k}\} = sign(2{\omega_0}^2 + p^2 - 2q - r^2) \neq 0. \qquad (15)$$

The crossing is from left to right when the right–hand side is positive and from right to left when it is negative; successive crossings in opposite directions produce the stability switches described by Beretta and Kuang [9]. The Hopf bifurcation theorem for functional differential equations [10,18] now applies.

Theorem 1 (Hopf bifurcation). Assume $R_0 > 1$ and let $\omega_0 > 0$ satisfy (11) with transversality (15) holding at $\tau_0 > 0$ given by (13). Then system (1) undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at the endemic equilibrium E^* when τ passes through τ_0: a family of periodic solutions bifurcates from E^* with period close to $2\pi/\omega_0$.

5. Direction and stability of the Hopf bifurcation

The existence of a Hopf bifurcation indicates the parameter values at which small-amplitude periodic solutions are produced from an equilibrium but local spectral analysis alone is not sufficient to determine the qualitative features of these periodic solutions. In particular, the characteristic equation and transversality condition make the crossing of a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues through the imaginary axis, but the direction of bifurcation and the orbital stability of the periodic solutions depend on higher-order nonlinear terms. Thus, center-manifold reduction and normal-form calculation are often used to study nonlinear delay differential equations [10,21-23]. For retarded functional differential equations, Hassard, Kazarinoff, and Wan's theory provides a systematic way of reducing the infinite-dimensional dynamics near a Hopf point to a finite-dimensional complex normal form. The nonlinear functional is first expanded about the equilibrium, the dynamics are restricted to the center manifold associated with the critical eigenvalues, and the higher-order coefficients are calculated. These coefficients determine the first Lyapunov quantity and hence the direction of Hopf bifurcation, the stability of the bifurcating periodic solutions, and the variation of the period with respect to the bifurcation parameter [10]. In many cases, this method has been adopted for the delayed biological and epidemiological systems with nonlinear feedback and time delays which lead to oscillatory behaviour [21-25]. In particular, the sign of the normal-form coefficient is important to provide information which cannot be obtained from the linearized characteristic equation. If the appropriate bifurcation coefficient is positive or negative there will be a periodic branch developed on one side or the other of the value of the critical parameter, and the stability coefficient is responsible for the stability of the new cycle. The calculation is thus complementary to the Hopf existence theorem and offers a non-linear description of

the local periodic structure. In the present work, we apply this approach to characterize the periodic solutions produced at the critical transmission delay.

Theorem 1 guarantees the birth of periodic solutions but says nothing about whether they appear for $\tau > \tau_0$ or $\tau < \tau_0$, whether they are stable, or how their period varies. These questions are answered by the normal form on the center manifold, following Hassard, Kazarinoff and Wan [10].

Translate E^* to the origin and rescale time so that the delay is τ_0. Write the nonlinear system as the retarded functional differential equation

$$x'(t) = Lx_t + F(x_t) \tag{16}$$

on $C = C([-\tau_0, 0], \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta)$, $L\phi = A_0\phi(0) + A_1\phi(-\tau_0)$ with

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu & 0 \\ 0 & -(\mu+\gamma) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -m & -n \\ m & n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

Here the matrices are written in the usual 2×2 layout. The nonlinearity F collects the quadratic and cubic terms of the delayed incidence; with $\varphi(b) = (I^* + b)/\big(1 + \alpha(I^* + b)\big)$ and $\varphi_k = \varphi^{(k)}(I^*)$, it is generated by the symmetric multilinear forms B and C satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(\phi,\psi) &= -\beta\varphi_1[\phi\phi_1(-\tau_0)\psi_2(-\tau_0) + \psi_1(-\tau_0)\phi_2(-\tau_0)] \\ &\quad - \beta S^*\varphi_2\phi_2(-\tau_0)\psi_2(-\tau_0) \\ B_2 &= -B_1, \\ C_1(\phi,\psi,\chi) &= -\beta\varphi_2[\phi\phi_1\psi_2\chi_2 + \psi_1\phi_2\chi_2 + \chi_1\phi_2\psi_2](-\tau_0) \\ &\quad - \beta S^*\varphi_3\phi_2\psi_2\chi_2(-\tau_0) \\ C_2 &= -C_1. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let $q(\theta) = (1, q_2)^T e^{i\omega_0\theta}$ be the eigenvector of the infinitesimal generator for the eigenvalue $i\omega_0$, so that $(i\omega_0 I - A_0 - A_1 e^{-i\omega_0\tau_0})(1, q_2)^T = 0$, and let $q^*(s)$ be the adjoint eigenvector, normalized by the bilinear form $\langle q^*, q\rangle = 1$ [18]. The normal form coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} g_{20} = \bar{q}^*(0)B(q,q), g_{11} = \bar{q}^*(0)B\big(q,\bar{q}\big), \\ g_{02} = \bar{q}^*(0)B\big(\bar{q},\bar{q}\big), \\ g_{21} = \bar{q}^*(0)\big[2B(q,W_{11}) + B\big(\bar{q},W_{20}\big) + C\big(q,q,\bar{q}\big)\big], \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where W_{20} and W_{11} are the quadratic center-manifold corrections,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{20}(\theta) &= \frac{ig_{20}}{\omega_0}q(\theta) + \frac{i\bar{g}_{02}}{3\omega_0}\bar{q}(\bar{\theta}) + E_1 e^{2i\omega_0\theta} \\ W_{11}(\theta) &= -\frac{ig_{11}}{\omega_0}q(\theta) + \frac{i\bar{g}_{11}}{\omega_0}\bar{q}(\bar{\theta}) + E_2, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with E_1 and E_2 determined from $\big(2i\omega_0 I - A_0 - A_1 e^{-2i\omega_0\tau_0}\big)E_1 = B\big(q(0), q(0)\big)$ and $(A_0 + A_1)E_2 = -B\big(q(0), \bar{q}(0)\big)$. The Poincaré normal form on the center manifold is $\dot{z} = i\omega_0 z + g_{20}z^2/2 + g_{11}z\bar{z} + g_{02}\bar{z}^2/2 + g_{21}z^2\bar{z}/2 + \cdots$, and the decisive quantity is

$$c_1(0) = \frac{i}{2\omega_0}\left(g_{20}g_{11} - 2|g_{11}|^2 - \frac{|g_{02}|^2}{3}\right) + \frac{g_{21}}{2}. \tag{21}$$

Writing $\lambda'(\tau)$ for the derivative in (14), the direction, stability, and period of the bifurcating cycles are given by [10]

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 &= -\frac{Re\,c_1(0)}{Re\,\lambda'(\tau_0)}, \\ \beta_2 &= 2Re\,c_1(0), \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$T_2 = -\frac{Imc_1(0) + \mu_2 Im\lambda'(\tau_0)}{\omega_0}.$$

Theorem 2 (Direction and stability). Let the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold. Then: (i) if $\mu_2 > 0$ (resp. $\mu_2 < 0$), the Hopf bifurcation is supercritical (resp. subcritical) and the bifurcating periodic solutions exist for $\tau > \tau_0$ (resp. $\tau < \tau_0$); (ii) if $\beta_2 < 0$ (resp. $\beta_2 > 0$), the bifurcating periodic solutions are orbitally asymptotically stable (resp. unstable); (iii) if $T_2 > 0$ (resp. $T_2 < 0$), the period of the bifurcating periodic solutions increases (resp. decreases) with τ.

6. Numerical illustration

We illustrate the theory with the parameter set

$$\Lambda = 1, \quad \mu = 0.2, \quad \beta = 0.8, \quad \alpha = 0.1, \quad \gamma = 0.4, \tag{23}$$

for which $R_0 = 6.67 > 1$ and the endemic equilibrium is

$$E^* = (S^*, I^*, R^*) \approx (0.854, 1.382, 2.764). \tag{24}$$

The linearization coefficients are $m \approx 0.971$, $n \approx 0.527$, $p = 0.8$, $q = 0.12$, $r \approx 0.444$, $s \approx 0.477$. Equation (11) becomes

$$\omega^4 + 0.203\omega^2 - 0.214 = 0 \tag{25}$$

with the unique positive root $\omega_0 \approx 0.610$. From (13) the critical delays are

$$\tau_0 \approx 2.641, \quad \tau_1 \approx 12.946, \quad \tau_2 \approx 23.251, \quad \ldots \tag{26}$$

and the transversality condition (15) gives $d\,Re\,\lambda/d\tau|\tau = \tau_k| > 0$ at every critical delay: each crossing is from left to right, so the endemic equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable for $0 \le \tau < \tau_0$ and unstable for $\tau > \tau_0$ near τ_0. The bifurcating periodic solutions have initial period $2\pi/\omega_0 \approx 10.30$.

Carrying out the normal form computation of Section 5 gives

$$c_1(0) \approx 0.1856 + 0.0095i, \mu_2 \approx -3.253, \beta_2 \approx 0.371, T_2 \approx -0.537. \tag{27}$$

By Theorem 2 the Hopf bifurcation at τ_0 is **subcritical**: the bifurcating periodic solutions exist for $\tau < \tau_0$, they are unstable, and their period decreases as τ increases. The loss of stability is therefore hard: as the delay crosses τ_0, no nearby stable cycle captures the dynamics.

Direct numerical integration by the method of steps confirms this picture. For $\tau = 2.56 < \tau_0$, a small perturbation of E^* decays and the solution converges to the endemic equilibrium, in agreement with Proposition 1 and Theorem 1. For $\tau = 2.72 > \tau_0$, the same perturbation grows exponentially, at the rate predicted by $Re\lambda'(\tau_0) \approx 0.057$, and the trajectory is expelled from the neighbourhood of E^* toward large-amplitude oscillations; no small stable limit cycle is observed, consistent with the subcritical character $(\mu_2 < 0, \beta_2 > 0)$ found above.

7. Conclusion

We have shown that a discrete transmission delay in an SIR model with saturated nonlinear incidence can destabilize the endemic equilibrium through a Hopf bifurcation, producing periodic epidemic waves from a steady endemic state. The analysis is complete: the characteristic equation $\lambda^2 + p\lambda + q + (r\lambda + s)e^{-\lambda\tau} = 0$ yields the crossing frequencies and the critical delays in closed form, the transversality condition is verified, and the normal form on the center manifold determines the direction and stability of the bifurcation. In the numerical example the bifurcation is subcritical with unstable bifurcating cycles, so that the delay induces a hard loss of stability rather than a gentle onset of oscillation.

There is a straightforward epidemiological reading of these results. The delay τ is not a mathematical artifice: it stands for the latent interval between an infectious contact and the moment the secondary case enters the force of infection, and it is the sort of parameter that public-health practice can influence — through faster case detection, earlier isolation, or swifter reporting. Theorem 1 says that this parameter carries a hard threshold: as long as $\tau < \tau_0$ the disease settles into a steady endemic state, whereas for $\tau > \tau_0$ that steady state is unstable and the disease must oscillate. In the numerical example the crossing is subcritical, which is the more dangerous of the two scenarios. A supercritical Hopf would announce itself gently, with small-amplitude cycles growing continuously out of the equilibrium; a subcritical Hopf offers no such warning, because the bifurcating cycles are unstable and the trajectory is expelled toward large-amplitude recurrent outbreaks. The period $2\pi/\omega_0$ of the nascent cycles has a direct reading as well: it sets the natural time scale of the epidemic waves that the delay induces, and in the example it is roughly four times the critical delay itself.

The saturation parameter α enters the entire bifurcation picture through the marginal coefficients m and n of the linearized incidence, and hence through r, s, the crossing frequencies, and the normal form coefficients. For the bilinear incidence ($\alpha = 0$) the per-infective transmission rate is unimpeded, whereas for $\alpha > 0$ the force of infection is capped at high prevalence, which flattens the response of the transmission process to delayed infective densities. One might hope that this saturation would suppress the delay-induced oscillations; the analysis shows otherwise. The saturation reshapes the characteristic equation but does not remove its transcendental character, and the Hopf mechanism survives for every $\alpha > 0$. In this sense the oscillations are a genuine delay effect, robust to the precise form of the incidence, rather than an artefact of the bilinear law. The same conclusion emerges from the linear algebra: the delay term $(r\lambda+s)e^{-\lambda\tau}$ is what makes (7) transcendental, and no choice of incidence can eliminate it.

A word on the method is in order. The passage from the transcendental characteristic equation to the critical delays (13) is the geometric stability-switch criterion of Beretta and Kuang [9] in miniature: the crossing frequencies are the positive roots of (11), the critical delays are obtained by inverting the trigonometric relations (12), and the sign in the transversality condition (15) decides, frequency by frequency, whether the crossing goes from left to right or from right to left. The normal form computation of Section 5 then reduces the infinite-dimensional semiflow near the bifurcation to a planar normal form $\dot{z} = i\omega_0 z + c_1(0)z^2\bar{z} + \cdots$, whose single complex coefficient $c_1(0)$ carries all the qualitative information: its real part fixes the direction and stability through (22), and its imaginary part the period correction. The numerical values reported in Section 6 are therefore not illustrations of a general principle but the output of an explicit algorithm, reproducible for any admissible parameter set by the same steps. Together, the characteristic equation, the transversality condition, and the Hassard normal form give a complete local picture of how the delay destabilizes the endemic state.

Several extensions suggest themselves. Distributed delays, which replace the discrete lag by an average over past states, preserve the overall picture while smoothing the stability-switch diagram [14,15,17]. Nonmonotone incidences of the Xiao–Ruan type [5] can interact with the delay to produce richer bifurcation sets, already visible in the undelayed case [4]. Finally, the global fate of solutions after a subcritical Hopf — whether they converge to a large stable cycle, to another equilibrium, or exhibit more complicated recurrent behaviour — remains a challenging open problem, to which the Lyapunov techniques of [11,12,13,14,15,16,17] and the persistence theory of [6] are the natural tools.

REFERENCES:

[1] W. O. Kermack and A. G. McKendrick, A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 115 (1927), 700–721.
[2] V. Capasso and G. Serio, A generalization of the Kermack–McKendrick deterministic epidemic model, Math. Biosci. 42 (1978), 43–61.
[3] W. M. Liu, S. A. Levin and Y. Iwasa, Influence of nonlinear incidence rates upon the behavior of SIRS epidemiological models, J. Math. Biol. 23 (1986), 187–204.
[4] S. Ruan and W. Wang, Dynamical behavior of an epidemic model with a nonlinear incidence rate, J. Differential Equations 188 (2003), 135–163.
[5] D. Xiao and S. Ruan, Global analysis of an epidemic model with nonmonotone incidence rate, Math. Biosci. 208 (2007), 419–429.
[6] K. L. Cooke and P. van den Driessche, Analysis of an SEIRS epidemic model with two delays, J. Math. Biol. 35 (1996), 240–260.
[7] Y. Takeuchi, W. Ma and E. Beretta, Global asymptotic properties of a delay SIR epidemic model with finite incubation times, Nonlinear Anal. 42 (2000), 931–947.
[8] W. Ma, M. Song and Y. Takeuchi, Global stability of an SIR epidemic model with time delay, Appl. Math. Lett. 17 (2004), 1141–1145.
[9] E. Beretta and Y. Kuang, Geometric stability switch criteria in delay differential systems with delay dependent parameters, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2002), 1144–1165.
[10] B. D. Hassard, N. D. Kazarinoff and Y. H. Wan, Theory and Applications of Hopf Bifurcation, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.
[11] A. Korobeinikov, Global properties of infectious disease models with nonlinear incidence, Bull. Math. Biol. 69 (2007), 1871–1886.
[12] R. Xu and Z. Ma, Stability of a delayed SIRS epidemic model with a nonlinear incidence rate, Chaos Solitons Fractals 41 (2009), 2319–2325.
[13] R. Xu and Z. Ma, Global stability of a SIR epidemic model with nonlinear incidence rate and time delay, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 10 (2009), 3175–3189.
[14] C. C. McCluskey, Complete global stability for an SIR epidemic model with delay — distributed or discrete, Nonlinear Anal. RWA 11 (2010), 55–59.
[15] C. C. McCluskey, Global stability of an SIR epidemic model with delay and general nonlinear incidence, Math. Biosci. Eng. 7 (2010), 837–850.
[16] G. Huang and Y. Takeuchi, Global analysis on delay epidemiological dynamics models with nonlinear incidence, J. Math. Biol. 63 (2011), 125–139.
[17] Y. Enatsu, Y. Nakata and Y. Muroya, Global stability of SIR epidemic models with a wide class of nonlinear incidence rates and distributed delays, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. B 15 (2011), 61–74.
[18] J. K. Hale and S. M. Verduyn Lunel, Introduction to Functional Differential Equations, Springer, New York, 1993.
[19] H. W. Hethcote, The mathematics of infectious diseases, SIAM Rev. 42 (2000), 599–653.
[20] P. van den Driessche and J. Watmough, Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold endemic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission, Math. Biosci. 180 (2002), 29–48.