

Semiotics of Indian Classical Dance: A Study of Bharatanatyam

Dr K. Sahayaraani

Assistant Professor of Bharatanatyam
Kalai Kaviri College of Fine Arts
Tiruchirappalli, TamilNadu

Abstract

This paper explores the semiotic dimensions of Indian classical dance with a special focus on Bharatanatyam. Drawing from semiotic theory and classical Indian aesthetics, it analyzes how gestures, expressions, body movements, costumes, and rhythmic elements serve as signs that communicate meaning. Through both traditional textual references like the Natyashastra and modern semiotic frameworks, the study examines how Bharatanatyam functions as a visual language. It concludes by highlighting the importance of shared cultural codes and the evolving nature of signification in contemporary practice.

Keywords: Semiotics, Bharatanatyam, Natyashastra, Mudras, Abhinaya, Indian classical dance

1. Introduction

Dance, in Indian tradition, has always been more than movement—it's a language of the soul, a medium of storytelling, and a form of divine communication. Among the eight classical dance forms of India, Bharatanatyam stands out for its rich codification of gestures (mudras), expressions (bhavas), and postures (karanas), which have been carefully systematized for centuries. These elements function as semiotic signs, capable of conveying nuanced meanings, emotions, and narratives.

The study of semiotics, defined as the study of signs and their interpretation, provides an important theoretical lens to decode how Bharatanatyam creates meaning. While Western semiotic theories offer frameworks like Saussure's signifier/signified and Peirce's icon/index/symbol, Indian traditions offer aesthetic systems such as Rasa theory and AbhinayaDarpana, which serve as indigenous semiotic models.

2. Semiotic Theory: A Brief Overview

Semiotics, rooted in the works of Ferdinand de Saussure and Charles Sanders Peirce, proposes that all communication operates through signs. A sign is composed of:

Signifier: The material form (e.g., gesture, image, word),

Signified: The mental concept it refers to.

In dance, the movement or expression is the signifier, while the emotion, narrative, or concept is the signified.

Peirce further classified signs into:

Icon: Resembles what it represents (e.g., mimetic dance gestures),

Index: Indicates something by a causal or existential link (e.g., trembling body signifies fear),

Symbol: Arbitrary or culturally agreed upon (e.g., use of a lotus to denote beauty or the divine).

These models help decode how meaning is constructed in Bharatanatyam.

3. Semiotic Systems in Bharatanatyam

3.1 Mudras (Hand Gestures)

Mudras are central to Bharatanatyam's semiotic system. Codified in texts like the AbhinayaDarpana by Nandikeshwara, they act as both iconic and symbolic signs. There are:

AsamyuktaHastas: Single-hand gestures (e.g., Pataka, Ardhachandra),

SamyuktaHastas: Double-hand gestures (e.g., Anjali, Kataka-mukha).

Example:

Anjali (joined palms):

Signifier: Palms joined at chest or forehead level.

Signified: Salutation, devotion, respect.

Type: Symbolic (culturally coded).

The same mudra may carry different meanings based on context, accompanying movements, and facial expressions.

3.2 AngikaAbhinaya (Physical Expression)

AngikaAbhinaya refers to expression through limbs, face, and entire body. It includes:

Head movements (Shirobhedas),

Eye movements (Drishtibhedas),

Neck movements (Grivabhedas).

These movements are carefully structured to enhance narrative clarity and emotional depth.

Example:

Eyes shifting side to side with a smile = flirtation (Shringara Rasa).

Such movements serve as indexes, pointing toward psychological or emotional states.

3.3 Bhava and Rasa: Emotional Semiotics

The Natyashastra describes 8 primary Rasas (expanded to 9 later):

Shringara (love), Veera (heroism), Karuna (compassion), Raudra (anger), Hasya (laughter), Bhayanaka (fear), Bibhatsa (disgust), Adbhuta (wonder), and Shanta (peace).

Bhava (emotive expression) is the signifier that evokes Rasa (aesthetic emotion) in the audience. This process is a uniquely Indian semiotic theory, where the dancer is the medium and the audience is the interpreter

4. Costumes, Music, and Spatial Codes

4.1 Costume and Makeup as Signs

The dancer's attire, makeup, and jewelry are visual semiotic tools that:

Represent tradition (symbolic),

Enhance visibility and expression (iconic).

Example:

Eye makeup exaggerates expressions, aiding in sign transmission across distance.

Ornaments like sun-moon headpieces symbolize divine connection (symbolic signs).

4.2 Nattuvangam, Tala, and Raga

Nattuvangam: Rhythmic syllables recited by the nattuvanar,

Tala: Cyclical rhythmic pattern (e.g., Aditala, Rupakatala),

Raga: Melodic mode (e.g., Kalyani, Bhairavi).

These aural signs set the tone and rhythm for movement. The tempo and raga mood semiotically frame the bhava being portrayed.

4.3 Space and Geometry

Dance space (stage), formations, and floor patterns also function semiotically:

Circular movements may denote divinity or eternity,

Diagonal paths often signify journey or transition.

5. Semiotic Case Study: Krishna in Bharatanatyam

A common motif in Bharatanatyam is Krishna's Leela (divine play). The following illustrates semiotic layering:

Gesture: Both hands at shoulder level, fingers poised as if holding a flute.

Facial Expression: Playful smile, glancing eyes.

Movement: Swaying posture, raised heel.

Costume: Peacocks feathers, blue-themed attire.

Accompanying lyrics: Descriptions of Radha's longing or Krishna's mischief.

All these signs—gestural, facial, musical, symbolic—collaborate to produce a composite semiotic image of Krishna. The audience interprets it based on cultural knowledge and emotional resonance.

6. Semiotics in Contemporary Bharatanatyam

Modern Bharatanatyam choreographies may:

Use traditional signs in new contexts (e.g., expressing environmental issues),

Blend Western semiotic systems (contemporary dance, film references),

Perform in non-traditional spaces, requiring adaptive spatial semiotics.

Yet, the core semiotic structure of Bharatanatyam remains intact—its strength lies in its codified expressiveness.

7. Discussion

Semiotics reveals that Bharatanatyam is not merely visual art but semiotic performance. Each gesture, glance, and rhythm is a carrier of meaning—decodable by those familiar with its cultural grammar.

Scholars like KapilaVatsyayan and AvanthiMeduri have emphasized how dance serves as both aesthetic and communicative discourse. From a semiotic perspective, Bharatanatyam offers a layered system of meaning, where indigenous theory (Rasa, Abhinaya) complements global semiotic frameworks.

8. Conclusion

Bharatanatyam is a powerful example of a structured semiotic system within performing arts. It uses body, sound, and space as signs, crafting a narrative that is both emotionally resonant and intellectually codified. Understanding its semiotics deepens appreciation, pedagogy, and performance. Moreover, it affirms the universal role of dance as a language beyond words, grounded in culture yet evolving with time.

References (APA Style)

1. Bharata. (200 BCE). *Natyashastra*. Translations by various authors.
2. Nandikeshwara. (c. 5th Century CE). *AbhinayaDarpana*.
3. Peirce, C. S. (1931–58). *Collected Papers of Charles Sanders Peirce*.
4. Saussure, F. de. (1916). *Course in General Linguistics*.
5. Vatsyayan, K. (1996). *Bharata: The Natyashastra*. SahityaAkademi.
6. Meduri, A. (2004). Bharatanatyam as a Global Dance: Some Issues in Research, Teaching, and Practice. *Dance Research Journal*, 36(2).