

E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

Literature Review on Solar Power Prediction Using Bi-LSTM Classifier Considering Different Uncertainty Models

Mr. Tatoba Namdeo Khandekar¹, Dr. Prasad D Kulkarni², Prof. Dr. Shrinivasa Mayya D

¹industries Officer

Industry, Maharashtra State Government

District Industries Centre

²associate Professor

Mechanical

Adcet Ashta Sangli

³professor

Principal

Sit Mangaluru

¹tnkhandekar79@Gmail.Com

Abstract

Accurate solar power prediction is critical for the efficient operation and integration of photovoltaic (PV) systems into modern power grids. The inherent uncertainty in weather conditions and sensor data presents major challenges for forecasting accuracy. While recent advances in deep learning, particularly using LSTM and its variants, have significantly improved performance, these models often ignore uncertainty in input data. This literature review critically analyzes state-of-the-art techniques for solar power forecasting, highlighting the role of metaheuristic optimization, hybrid neural models, and deep learning algorithms. Special attention is given to recent studies utilizing Bi-LSTM networks and the incorporation (or lack thereof) of uncertainty models. The review identifies key limitations in current approaches and underscores the need for a framework that explicitly integrates uncertainty distributions with Bi-LSTM for enhanced prediction accuracy.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of renewable energy systems, especially solar PV installations, demands accurate short-term and long-term forecasting of solar power output. However, fluctuations in solar irradiance, weather variability, and sensor imperfections introduce nonlinearities and uncertainties that traditional models struggle to handle.



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

Deep learning approaches, particularly LSTM and Bi-LSTM, offer promising capabilities in modeling temporal dependencies and nonlinear relationships. However, most deep learning models assume deterministic input and do not account for real-world uncertainties such as measurement noise, system disturbances, and environmental variability. Recent research shows increasing interest in integrating metaheuristic optimization, hybrid neural architectures, and uncertainty quantification to improve the reliability and accuracy of predictions.

2. Review of Existing Methods

2.1 Optimization-Based Neural Forecasting

Several models integrate neural networks with metaheuristic algorithms for parameter tuning:

- **EMA-DNN** (Sulaiman and Mustaffa, 2024): Combines Evolutionary Mating Algorithm with DNN to optimize weights. Strong global search capability, but is computationally intensive and slow to converge.
- **GRNN-GWO** (**Tu et al., 2022**): Employs Grey Wolf Optimization with General Regression Neural Network. Offers fast convergence but may suffer from premature convergence.
- MFFNN-MVO (Talaat et al., 2022): Uses Multiverse Optimization to tune Feedforward Neural Networks. Good flexibility and multimodal problem-solving ability, but convergence is slow and expensive.

2.2 Hybrid Deep Learning Models

Advanced architectures integrate multiple neural components for improved performance:

- Bayesian Optimization with Attention-Dilated LSTM (Molu et al., 2024): Enhances accuracy by tuning hyperparameters and filtering noise. Highly accurate but computationally expensive.
- CNN-LSTM (Lim et al., 2022): Combines CNN for feature extraction and LSTM for temporal prediction. High prediction accuracy but results in complex and large models.
- **JAYA-SMC+ANN** (**Jlidi et al., 2023**): Integrates control optimization with ANN for prediction and maximum power point tracking. Cost-effective but prone to local optima.

2.3 Uncertainty-Aware and Sequential Models

Limited research explicitly incorporates uncertainty modeling:

- GVSAO-Bi-LSTM (Wu et al., 2024): Uses a Snow Ablation Optimization algorithm to improve Bi-LSTM performance. Considers some optimization issues, but lacks detailed modeling of uncertainty distributions and suffers from premature convergence.
- **LSTM-Based Forecasting (Dhaked et al., 2023)**: Uses LSTM and BPNN to predict PV output based on weather features. While good at capturing temporal patterns, LSTM models risk overfitting in fluctuating conditions.



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

3. Summary of Literature

The following **Table 1** summarizes key features, advantages, and drawbacks of reviewed approaches:

Author	Model	Uncertainty Considered	Key Advantages	Limitations
[1] Sulaiman & Mustaffa (2024)	EMA + DNN	Yes	Strong search capability	Slow convergence
[2] Tu et al. (2022)	GRNN + GWO	Yes	Fast convergence	Premature convergence
[3] Talaat et al. (2022)	MFFNN + MVO	No		Computationally expensive
[4] Molu et al. (2024)	BO + Attention- LSTM	No	High accuracy	High complexity
[5] Jlidi et al. (2023)	JAYA-SMC + ANN	Yes	Real-world applicability	Risk of local optima
[6] Lim et al. (2022)	CNN + LSTM	Yes	Accurate and robust	Complex architecture
[7] Dhaked et al. (2023)	LSTM	No	Sequential modeling	Overfitting risk
[8] Wu et al. (2024)	GVSAO + Bi- LSTM	No	Low cost	Low accuracy, premature convergence

4. Identified Research Gaps

From the literature, the following key research gaps are identified:

- Lack of Multi-Uncertainty Modeling: Most models consider only noise or weather variability but not a comprehensive set of uncertainty distributions.
- **Limited Use of Bi-LSTM**: While Bi-LSTM models are powerful for capturing bidirectional temporal dependencies, their application in uncertainty-aware forecasting is limited.
- Overfitting and Poor Generalization: Existing deep learning models often suffer from overfitting due to lack of uncertainty handling.
- Complex and Costly Architectures: Highly accurate models often require significant computational resources, which limit their real-time usability.

5. Proposed Direction

To address these limitations, a **Bi-LSTM forecasting model integrated with five distinct uncertainty models** (Poisson, Bernoulli, Weibull, Exponential, Multinomial) is proposed. This combination aims to:

- Model real-world variabilities more accurately
- Reduce overfitting and improve generalization



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

- Enhance forecast accuracy under uncertain conditions
- Maintain computational feasibility for real-time systems

6. Conclusion

This review highlights the evolution of solar power forecasting techniques with a focus on deep learning and optimization. While various hybrid models have demonstrated success, the explicit integration of diverse uncertainty models with Bi-LSTM is still underexplored. The proposed direction aims to bridge this gap and enhance the robustness of PV power prediction systems in real-world, uncertain environments.

References

- 1. M. H. Sulaiman and Z. Mustaffa, "Forecasting solar power generation using evolutionary mating algorithm-deep neural networks," Energy and AI, vol. 16, p. 100371, 2024.
- 2. C.-S. Tu, W.-C. Tsai, C.-M. Hong, and W.-M. Lin, "Short-term solar power forecasting via general regression neural network with grey wolf optimization," Energies, vol. 15, no. 18, p. 6624, 2022.
- 3. M. Talaat, T. Said, M. A. Essa, and A. Y. Hatata, "Integrated MFFNN-MVO approach for PV solar power forecasting considering thermal effects and environmental conditions," Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst., vol. 135, p. 107570, 2022.
- 4. R. J. J. Molu et al., "Advancing short-term solar irradiance forecasting accuracy through a hybrid deep learning approach with Bayesian optimization," Results in Engineering, vol. 23, p. 102461, 2024.
- 5. M. Jlidi et al., "An artificial neural network for solar energy prediction and control using Jaya-SMC," Electronics, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 592, 2023.
- 6. S.-C. Lim, J.-H. Huh, S.-H. Hong, C.-Y. Park, and J.-C. Kim, "Solar power forecasting using CNN-LSTM hybrid model," Energies, vol. 15, no. 21, p. 8233, 2022.
- 7. D. K. Dhaked, S. Dadhich, and D. Birla, "Power output forecasting of solar photovoltaic plant using LSTM," Green Energy and Intelligent Transportation, vol. 2, no. 5, p. 100113, 2023.
- 8. Y. Wu, C. Xiang, H. Qian, and P. Zhou, "Optimization of Bi-LSTM photovoltaic power prediction based on improved snow ablation optimization algorithm," Energies, vol. 17, no. 17, p. 4434, 2024.
- 9. J. Antonanzas et al., "Review of photovoltaic power forecasting," Solar Energy, vol. 136, pp. 78–111, Oct. 2016.
- 10. M. H. Asif et al., "Influencing factors of consumers' buying intention of solar energy: A structural equation modeling approach," Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 30017–30032, 2023.
- 11. D. V. Pombo et al., "Assessing stacked physics-informed machine learning models for co-located wind–solar power forecasting," Sustain. Energy Grids Netw., vol. 32, p. 100943, Dec. 2022.
- 12. G. Cattani, "Combining data envelopment analysis and Random Forest for selecting optimal locations of solar PV plants," Energy and AI, vol. 11, p. 100222, Jan. 2023.
- 13. U. A. Khan, N. M. Khan, and M. H. Zafar, "Resource efficient PV power forecasting: Transductive transfer learning based hybrid deep learning model for smart grid in Industry 5.0," Energy Convers. Manag. X, vol. 20, p. 100486, Oct. 2023.



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

- 14. P. Verma and T. Kaur, "Power reserve control strategy of PV system for active power reserve under dynamic shading patterns," Array, vol. 16, p. 100250, Dec. 2022.
- 15. S. Cantillo-Luna, R. Moreno-Chuquen, D. Celeita, and G. Anders, "Deep and machine learning models to forecast photovoltaic power generation," Energies, vol. 16, no. 10, 2023.
- 16. L. Liu and Y. Li, "Research on a photovoltaic power prediction model based on an IAO-LSTM optimization algorithm," Processes, vol. 11, no. 7, 2023.
- 17. L. Liu et al., "A photovoltaic power prediction approach based on data decomposition and stacked deep learning model," Electronics, vol. 12, no. 13, 2023.
- 18. M. Abou Houran, S. M. Salman Bukhari, M. H. Zafar, M. Mansoor, and W. Chen, "COA-CNN-LSTM: Coati optimization algorithm-based hybrid deep learning model for PV/wind power forecasting in smart grid applications," Appl. Energy, vol. 349, p. 121638, Nov. 2023.
- 19. L. Yin and S. Li, "Hybrid metaheuristic multi-layer reinforcement learning approach for two-level energy management strategy framework of multi-microgrid systems," Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell., vol. 104, p. 104326, Sep. 2021.
- 20. S. Boriratrit, P. Fuangfoo, C. Srithapon, and R. Chatthaworn, "Adaptive meta-learning extreme learning machine with golden eagle optimization and logistic map for forecasting the incomplete data of solar irradiance," Energy and AI, vol. 13, p. 100243, Jul. 2023.
- 21. X. Hou, C. Ju, and B. Wang, "Prediction of solar irradiance using convolutional neural network and attention mechanism-based long short-term memory network based on similar day analysis and an attention mechanism," Heliyon, vol. 9, no. 11, 2023.
- 22. D. Kothona, I. P. Panapakidis, and G. C. Christoforidis, "Day-ahead photovoltaic power prediction based on a hybrid gradient descent and metaheuristic optimizer," Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess., vol. 57, p. 103309, Jun. 2023.
- 23. M. Mishra, B. Dash, J. Nayak, B. Naik, and S. K. Swain, "Deep learning and wavelet transform integrated approach for short-term solar PV power prediction," Measurement, vol. 166, p. 108250, Dec. 2020.
- 24. S. Mirjalili, "The Ant Lion Optimizer," Adv. Eng. Softw., vol. 83, pp. 80–98, May 2015.
- 25. S. Mirjalili, "Dragonfly algorithm: A new meta-heuristic optimization technique for solving single-objective, discrete, and multi-objective problems," Neural Comput. Appl., vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1053–1073, May 2016.
- 26. M. H. Sulaiman, Z. Mustaffa, M. M. Saari, and H. Daniyal, "Barnacles Mating Optimizer: A new bio-inspired algorithm for solving engineering optimization problems," Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell., vol. 87, p. 103330, Jan. 2020.
- 27. J. H. Holland, "Genetic Algorithms," Sci. Am., vol. 267, no. 1, pp. 66-73, Jul. 1992.
- 28. E. Rashedi, H. Nezamabadi-pour, and S. Saryazdi, "GSA: A Gravitational Search Algorithm," Inf. Sci., vol. 179, no. 13, pp. 2232–2248, Jun. 2009.
- 29. R. Eberhart and J. Kennedy, "A new optimizer using particle swarm theory," in Proc. Sixth Int. Symp. Micro Machine and Human Science, 1995, pp. 39–43.
- 30. H. Awad, K. M. E. Salim, and M. Gül, "Multi-objective design of grid-tied solar photovoltaics for commercial flat rooftops using particle swarm optimization algorithm," J. Build. Eng., vol. 28, p. 101080, Mar. 2020.