

E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: <a href="www.ijsat.org">www.ijsat.org</a> • Email: editor@ijsat.org

# Challenges in the Compliance of Regulatory Framework in Public Private and New Gen Banks in Kerala

## Dr. Indurajani R

Associate Professor, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Government College Nedumangad, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram.

#### **Abstract**

Banking regulations can generally be defined as the frameworks controlling the creation, operation and liquidation of banks in an economy. Since the banking industry in a country is considered as the nerve system of all economic development in the country, strict regulation and supervision is necessary. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) is the primary regulatory body for all banks in India. In order to strengthen the banking system the RBI introduced several rules, laws and guidelines from time to time. The banks in India have to comply with all these guidelines as per RBI directions. Even though the regulations are meant for strengthening the banking system, but while adopting these regulations the banks might face many challenges and issues such as issues related to NPA and NPA recovery, work burden of the employees, cost of compliance, implementation issues and so on. In this research paper, the challenges in the compliance of regulatory and supervisory framework is analyzed based on regulations such as credit policy regulations, Basel norms, CRR, SLR, priority sector lending, AML and KYC.

**Key Words:** Commercial Banks, Banking Regulations, Banking Regulation Challenges, credit policy regulations, Basel norms, CRR, SLR, priority sector lending, AML and KYC, RBI, NPA.

#### **Review of literature**

The following studies related to challenges of regulations in banking industry conducted in India and abroad were reviewed for identifying the research gap.

**Olajide Solomon Fadun** (2013) examined the Basel II Accord implementation, its implications and challenges for the Nigeria banking system .Some of the challenges he mentioned in his study were that the implementation and compliance costs of Basel II were likely to increase and the need to align supervisory disclosures under pillar 3 with international and domicile accounting standards was another major challenge

Chat Le Nguyen (2014) in his thesis "International Anti – Money Laundering Standards and Their Implementation by Vietnam", examined the development and underlying rationales of a number of key categories of international AMLSs, and differences in the national implementation of each category. The implementation of multifaceted standards in Vietnam required substantial legal and administrative reforms that often-faced numerous domestic hurdles. It is likely that Vietnam, within a short time will



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

revise the laws to obtain a better degree of compliance. But the study argued that the given political, economic and legal factors put a limitation on the enforcement of the laws in practice.

**Hayat et al. (2017)** investigated the major challenges faced by banks in Indonesia under a regulatory environment. They developed a model to resist negative shock and maintain financial stability of the banking system. Profitability measurement is important in assessing the financial health of banking of banking institutions

**S. Manjushree and Dr. K.V Giridhar (2018)** made a study on "Problems and Prospects of Priority Sector Lending". By using primary and secondary data they studied the problems and prospects of Priority Sector Lending in State Bank of India in Bhadravathi. They pointed out many problems in PSL scheme such as low profitability, higher transaction cost, government interferences, burden of nonperforming assets etc.

Tony Clark (2018) reported five top regulatory concerns currently facing financial institutions putting immense pressure on staff to comply with each requirement. According to him, the broad scope of regulation, that is, each regulation comes with numerous clauses, managing risk which means complex risk calculations especially in Basel III, knowing the customer which puts huge pressure on the staff processing this information, reporting standards that require huge amount of time in meeting this requirements and also data management requiring firms to hold client information for several years were the top understated challenges of regulatory compliance.

#### **Statement of the Problem**

Bank regulations are a form of government regulation which subject banks to certain requirements, restrictions and guidelines. The main objective of bank regulations are to reduce the level of risks to which creditors are exposed (i.e. to protect depositors), to the risks of banks being used for criminal purposes, to protect the banking confidentiality, to direct credit to favored sectors. Some of the instruments and regulations of bank regulation includes capital requirements, reserve requirements, corporate governance, financial reporting and disclosure requirements, credit rating requirements etc. Regulations are important because it helps in increasing the efficiency of the banking system. But while complying these regulations the bank employees are facing challenges like legal issues, implementation issues, technology challenges, problems related to human resources, lack of data etc. It is in this background that the present study has been conducted.

#### Significance of the Study

The primary goal of bank regulation is the stability of the banking system. Bank failures are considered to have enormous undesirable effects on the economy as they have the tendency to spread in dominoes way throughout the financial system. A default by one bank on its obligation to another bank can adversely affect that bank's ability to meet its obligation to other banks and so on down the chain of banks and beyond. This study provides some sparks to the authorities in framing regulations and gives some directions for making regulatory and supervisory functions more fruitful by reducing the challenges in complying the same. It also provides a platform for regulatory authorities to understand areas for improvement. Hence the study is significant.

#### **Objective of the Study**

To study the challenges in the compliance of the regulatory framework in Public, Private and New Gen banks in Kerala

#### **Hypothesis**



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

**H<sub>0</sub>:** Public, Private and New Gen banks have similar level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory framework

**H<sub>1</sub>:** Public, Private and New Gen banks have different level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory framework

#### Methodology

The study was analytical and descriptive in nature. The population of the study constitutes the employees of the public, private sector and new generation banks in Kerala. Multi-Stage sampling technique was used for selecting the sample. For the purpose of study the State of Kerala is divided into three zones – South, Central, and North. One district from each zone based on number of branches was selected. Accordingly Thiruvananthapuram (south), Ernakulam (central), Kozhikode (north) were selected. In each district the banks were divided in to strata as Public Sector Banks, Private Sector Banks and New Generation banks. From the strata those banks having highest number of branches were selected. State Bank of India (SBI) representing the Public sector banks, Federal Bank representing the Private sector banks and HDFC representing the new generation banks are the sample banks for the study. The employees of these banks were the respondents for the study. Cochran's formula was used for determining the required number of sample for the study. Accordingly a total of 408 respondents as sample size covered under the study. Primary and secondary data were used for the study. Primary data were collected on the basis of scientifically pre- tested structured interview schedule during the period from July 2020 to April 2021. The major tools used for analyzing the data include percentage, mean, standard deviation, one sample t-Test, and one- way ANOVA.

**Results and Discussion** 

Challenges Faced in the Compliance of Regulatory and Supervisory Framework–One-way ANOVA

	Type of	f Banl	k						
Variable				Private Sector		New Gen.		F- value	p- value
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
	Target oriented lending	3.23 <sup>b</sup>	1.40	2.17 <sup>a</sup>	0.60	2.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	39.698	<0.001**
Implementation	facilities		1.09	1.49ª	0.60	2.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.76	40.716	<0.001**
Issues on Credit Policy	In sufficient staff for pre loan and post loan appraisal	2.49 <sup>c</sup>	1.64	1.52ª	0.57	2.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.82	20.167	<0.001**
	Increased number of defaulters	3.29 <sup>b</sup>	1.42	1.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.68	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.47	109.796	<0.001**
Overall Implementation Issues on Credit Policy		11.46 <sup>c</sup>	4.01	6.61ª	1.69	8.16 <sup>b</sup>	2.50	85.613	<0.001**
O	Bunching of applications due to	12.71°	1.39	2.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.96	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.47	13.385	<0.001**



Coverage   Coverage		Ct			1	1				
Lack of support from the 2.47b   1.49   2.57b   0.91   1.48a   0.51   12.837   <0.001**		Govt. sponsored								
from the 2.47b   1.49   2.57b   0.91   1.48a   0.51   12.837   <0.001**    Strict adherence to RBI guideline   3.62c   1.55   1.85a   0.84   2.41b   1.53   67.424   <0.001**    Overall Legal Issues on Credit Policy   8.79c   3.42   6.99b   2.22   5.57a   1.52   30.031   <0.001**    When it is doubtful on the changes in the CRR rate phanks might be forced to hold back 1.86b   0.90   1.56a   0.68   2.21c   0.67   10.574   <0.001**    Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR affects the repaying capacity result to NPA   2.30c   0.63   1.51a   0.50   2.00b   0.84   62.977   <0.001**    Overall Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR  Risk Associated with CRR   Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   <0.001**    Compliance risk   3.05b   1.22   2.01a   0.99   2.16a   0.71   49.487   <0.001**    Overall Risk Associated with CRR   8.32b   2.48   6.22a   0.72   5.70a   1.72   57.962   <0.001**										
Strict adherence to RBI guideline		1.1	0 47h	1 40	2 57h	0.01	1 408	0.51	10 027	۰۵ ۵۵1 ۷۷
Strict adherence to RBI guideline   3.62°   1.55   1.85°   0.84   2.41°   1.53   67.424   <0.001**			2.47°	1.49	2.57	0.91	1.48"	0.51	12.837	<0.001**
Note   Compliance   Complianc		government								
Note   Compliance   Complianc		Strict adherence to	$3.62^{c}$	1.55	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.84	$2.41^{b}$	1.53	67.424	<0.001**
When it is doubtful on the changes in the CRR rate banks might be forced to hold back 1.86b   0.90   1.56a   0.68   2.21c   0.67   10.574   <0.001**		RBI guidenne		2.42	c oob	2.22	<i>E E</i> 73	1.50	20.021	٠٠ ٥٥١ ٧٧
Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR   Compliance risk   2.23b   Credit risk   3.05b   1.22   2.01a   0.90   2.16a   0.51   24.596   0.901**    Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   0.001**   Credit risk   3.04c   1.24   2.48b   0.89   2.02a   0.82   21.276   0.001**   When it is doubtful on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.84   0.90   0.84   0.979   0.901**    Condition on the changes in the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.84   0.970   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.84   0.90   0.84   0.90   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.84   0.90   0.84   0.90   0.901**    Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.80   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.90   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.90   0.90   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.900   0.901**   Condition on the changes in the SLR rate   0.900   0.90			8.79	3.42	6.99°	2.22	5.57"	1.52	30.031	<0.001**
Tissues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR   Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   0.901**    Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   0.901**    Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   0.901**    Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.95   2.06a   0.95   2.07a   0.95										
Saues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR   Credit in fear that it might result to NPA										
Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR   Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR   Afteration in CRR affects the repaying capacity result to NPA		,								
Credit in fear that it might result to non- performing loans   Alteration in CRR affects the repaying capacity result to NPA		=	1 0 <i>c</i> b	0.00	1 5 6	0.69	2 210	0.67	10.574	-0.001**
Sues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR			1.80	0.90	1.30	0.08	2.21	0.67	10.374	<0.001***
NPA/NPL on CRR	Ussues Relating to									
loans   Alteration in CRR   affects   the repaying capacity   result to NPA   2.30°   0.63   1.51°   0.50   2.00°   0.84   62.977   <0.001**	NPA/NPL on CRR	C								
Alteration in CRR affects the repaying capacity result to NPA  Overall Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR  Risk Associated with CRR  Credit risk 3.05 <sup>b</sup> 1.22 2.01 <sup>a</sup> 0.44 1.52 <sup>a</sup> 0.51 24.596 <0.001**  Compliance risk 3.04 <sup>c</sup> 1.24 2.48 <sup>b</sup> 0.89 2.02 <sup>a</sup> 0.82 21.276 <0.001**  Overall Risk Associated with CRR  When it is doubtful on the changes in the SLR rate ,										
Compliance risk   2.30°   0.63   1.51°   0.50   2.00°   0.84   62.977   <0.001***										
Trepaying capacity result to NPA   2.30°   0.63   1.51°   0.50   2.00°   0.84   62.977   <0.001**								0.84		
Compliance risk   2.23b   0.95   1.73a   0.44   1.52a   0.51   24.596   <0.001**			$2.30^{c}$	0.63	1.51 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	$2.00^{b}$		62.977	<0.001**
Overall Issues Relating to NPA/NPL on CRR         4.16b         1.45         3.07a         1.13         4.20b         1.36         26.410         <0.001**										
Compliance risk         2.23b         0.95         1.73a         0.44         1.52a         0.51         24.596         <0.001***										
Credit risk   3.05b   1.22   2.01a   0.09   2.16a   0.71   49.487   <0.001**				1.45	3.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.13	4.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.36	26.410	
with CRR         Credit risk         3.05°         1.22   2.01°         0.09   2.16°         0.71   49.487   <0.001**	Dick Associated	Compliance risk		0.95	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.44	1.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.51	24.596	<0.001**
Liquidity risk   3.04°   1.24   2.48°   0.89   2.02°   0.82   21.276   <0.001**		Credit risk	$3.05^{b}$	1.22	2.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.09	2.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.71	49.487	<0.001**
When it is doubtful on the changes in the SLR rate ,	with CKK	Liquidity risk	3.04 <sup>c</sup>	1.24	2.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.89	2.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.82	21.276	<0.001**
on the changes in the SLR rate ,	Overall Risk Associ	ated with CRR	8.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.48	6.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.72	5.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.72	57.962	<0.001**
the SLR rate ,		When it is doubtful								
		on the changes in								
banks might be		the SLR rate,								
forced to hold back 1.85 <sup>b</sup>   0.68   1.52 <sup>a</sup>   0.50   1.86 <sup>b</sup>   0.90   10.337   <0.001**			1.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.68	1.52 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.90	10.337	<0.001**
Issues Relating to credit in fear that it	Issues Relating to									
NPA/NPL on SLR might result to	Ü	might result to								
non- performing		non- performing								
loans		loans								
Alteration in SLR	A a									
affects the 2.29 <sup>b</sup>   1.10   1.40 <sup>a</sup>   0.49   1.52 <sup>a</sup>   0.51   41.557   <0.001**		affects the	2 29 <sup>b</sup>	1 10	1 40a	0.49	1 52a	0.51	<i>4</i> 1 557	<0.001**
repaying capacity 1.10 1.10 1.15 1.32 1.337 1.337		repaying capacity	2.2)	1.10	1.10	0.17	1.52	0.51	11.557	<b>\(\text{0.001}\)</b>
result to NPA										
14 14°   1 62   2 92°   10 94   3 39°   1 38   29 036   <0 001**	Overall Issues Relating to NPA/NPL		4.14 <sup>c</sup>	1.62	2.92 <sup>a</sup>	0.94	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	1.38	29.036	<0.001**
on SLR	on SLR								-	



D: 1	Compliance risk	2.06 <sup>ab</sup>	0.98	1.84 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	2.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.90	3.130	0.045*
Risk Associated	Credit risk	2.91 <sup>b</sup>	1.33	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.38	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.96	48.748	<0.001**
with SLR	Liquidity risk	3.03°	1.41	2.54 <sup>b</sup>	0.83	1.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.51	33.218	<0.001**
Overall Risk Associa	ated with SLR	8.01 <sup>c</sup>	3.01	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.44	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	2.18	34.906	<0.001**
Information Technology Basel Norms	Lack of flexibility in the current information technology systems and interfaces to incorporate new Basel requirements. Banks have to upgrade	3.34 <sup>c</sup> 4.08 <sup>c</sup>	1.35		0.46		1.26	120.865 76.690	<0.001** <0.001**
	systems.	7.42°	2.34	3.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.52	5.75 <sup>b</sup>	2.62	122.400	<0.001**
Human Resources Basel Norms	Skilled personnel are required for detailed reporting and calculations	3 50b	1.40	1.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.68	4.46°	1.39	131.226	<0.001**
	Huge expenditure on recruitment and training of personnel required for the implementation	2.97 <sup>b</sup>	1.13	1.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	3.55°	1.11	113.163	<0.001**
	Lack of expertise human resources to implement Basel	2.93 <sup>c</sup>	1.14	1.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.62	2.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.26	103.740	<0.001**
Overall Human Norms	Resources Basel	9.40 <sup>b</sup>	3.48	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.60	10.36 <sup>c</sup>	3.26	127.672	<0.001**
Data Relating to Basel Norms	Lack of reliable data for calculations under Basel norms There is difficulty in obtaining loss data, internal data,	2.23° 2.14°			0.49	1.68 <sup>b</sup>		40.143 39.019	<0.001** <0.001**



		Ι	1	1	1	T	1	1	<del>,</del>
	and external data								
	for Basel								
	calculations								
	Basel compliances								
	led to increase in	2 77°	1.07	1.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.25	80.711	<0.001**
	data reporting	2.11	1.07	1.55	0.50	1.80	0.55	00.711	
	requirements								
Overall Data Relating to Basel Norms		7.13 <sup>c</sup>	2.39	4.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.25	5.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.94	78.606	<0.001**
	Borrower purchase	1.05b	1 20	1.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	2.89 <sup>c</sup>	1.04	23.747	<0.001**
	defective assets		1.20	1.33	0.30	2.89	1.04	23.747	<0.001
Priority Sector	Defective Project	1.003	0.01	1 659	0.67	2 20h	0.72	10.446	0.001.444
Lending and NPA	appraisal	1.89ª	0.91	1.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	2.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.72	12.446	<0.001**
Recovery	Borrower use loans					2.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.76	26.089	
•	for paying old	2.52 <sup>b</sup>	1.12	1.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.63				<0.001**
	debts								
Overall Priority Se	ector Lending and								
NPA Recovery		6.36 <sup>b</sup>	2.53	4.97 <sup>a</sup>	1.70	7.98 <sup>c</sup>	1.66	31.056	<0.001**
1 (1 11 Recovery	Receiving								
	application in bulk	$3.20^{b}$	1.21	1.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	1.89 <sup>a</sup>	1.10	100.508	<0.001**
	Follow the								
	guidelines strictly	$3.83^{c}$	1.13	1.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.98	$3.23^{b}$	1.24	120.406	<0.001**
	Insufficient staff	3.92 <sup>b</sup>	1.19	1.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	1.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.51	273.521	<0.001**
Implementation			1.17	1.00	0.47	1.40	0.51	213.321	<b>\0.001</b>
_		3.69 <sup>b</sup>	1.20	2.19 <sup>a</sup>	1.23	2.21 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	80.095	<0.001**
Issues on Priority		<u> </u>							
Sector Lending	Assessment of	$3.29^{c}$	1.36	1.94 <sup>a</sup>	1.07	$2.57^{b}$	0.95	46.697	<0.001**
	credit worthiness	2 0 ch	1.00	2 10h	1.10	1 (12	0.72	4.500	0.011#
	Political pressure	$2.06^{b}$	1.08	2.19 <sup>b</sup>	1.18	1.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.72	4.532	0.011*
	Increase	a ====b		• • • •		b			0.0041
	administrative and	$2.52^{ab}$	1.41	$2.20^{a}$	1.23	2.75 <sup>b</sup>	1.26	3.411	0.034*
	transaction cost								
Overall Implemen	tation Issues on	22.50 <sup>c</sup>	5.90	13.61 <sup>a</sup>	5.12	15.73 <sup>b</sup>	3.39	112.712	<0.001**
<b>Priority Sector Len</b>	umg		0.70	10.01	0.12	101,0	0.07	1121,712	101001
	Bank organizes								
	special	3.01 <sup>b</sup>	1 56	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	0.66	2.91 <sup>b</sup>	1 20	36.267	<0.001**
	programmers' for	J.U1	1.50	1.75	0.00	2.71	1.20	30.207	.0.001
Work Rundon on	increasing PSL								<u> </u>
Work Burden on	Visits, calls,								
Lending Sector n	notices in								
	connection with	$3.05^{b}$	1.45	1.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.82	0.82 2.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.35	40.031	<0.001**
	recovery, reminder		1.73						
	are more in PSL								
	No. of Accounts	3.71 <sup>c</sup>	1.40	1.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.68	2.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.67	129.252	<0.001**
		l	1	1	1	1	1	1	1



	are more in PSL								
Overall Work Bu	rden on Priority								
Sector Lending	in i	9.77 <sup>c</sup>	3.62	5.27 <sup>a</sup>	1.99	$7.25^{b}$	1.56	85.960	<0.001**
Resources Deficit on AML	Insufficient budget to carry out AML activities	1.94 <sup>b</sup>	1.34	1.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.47	1.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.96	11.484	<0.001**
	Ineffective AML software to monitor unusual transactions	1.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.85	1.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.47	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.90	15.223	<0.001**
	Shortage of staff affects AML compliance.		1.20	1.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.37	2.16 <sup>b</sup>	0.71	51.648	<0.001**
Overall Resources I	Deficit on AML	6.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.64	3.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.12	5.70 <sup>b</sup>	2.40	36.143	<0.001**
Lack of Customer Support Relating to AML	Customers are reluctant to furnish the documents required under AML	2.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.01	1.62ª	0.49	2.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.82	21.765	<0.001**
	Customers often provide false information with regard to their annual income, details of assets etc.	3.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.26	1.67ª	0.47	1.66ª	0.48	86.115	<0.001**
	Customers feel that their privacy and confidentiality are compromised	3.49 <sup>b</sup>	1.41	1.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	1.82ª	0.39	122.555	<0.001**
Overall Lack of ORelating to AML	Customer Support	8.81 <sup>b</sup>	3.02	$4.88^{a}$	1.37	5.50 <sup>a</sup>	0.79	109.288	<0.001**
Lack of Sufficient Training Relating	There is no periodical training on AML measures Training on AML		1.21	1.33ª	0.47	1.86 <sup>b</sup>	0.90	44.056	<0.001**
to AML	measures is	2.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.10	1.46 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	1.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.92	12.955	<0.001**
Overall Lack of Sufficient Training Relating to AML		4.42 <sup>c</sup>	1.93	2.79 <sup>a</sup>	0.91	3.68 <sup>b</sup>	1.74	36.747	<0.001**
Implementation	There is no mechanism to	2.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.94	1.55ª	0.50	1.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.48	23.570	<0.001**



		Т	1	T		1		1	1
	verify the								
	authenticity of								
	information								
	provided by the								
	client								
	The procedures to								
	prevent, detect and								
	report suspicious	1 60	0.06	1 60	0.40	1 10	0.51	1.502	0.004
	transactions are	1.69	0.96	1.60	0.49	1.48			0.224
	unclear and								
	confusing.								
	Timely realization								
	of a suspicious					1.82	0.92	1.462	
	transaction is a	1.66	0.77	1.60	0.49				0.233
	difficult task								
	Insufficient								
	feedback on the	1 80°	ი 59	1.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.49	1.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.35	41.855	<0.001**
	reports submitted	1.00	0.57	1.50	0.7	1.17	0.55	11.055	\0.001
Overall Implemen	tation Issues on								
AML	tation issues on	7.19 <sup>b</sup>	2.70	6.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.79	5.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.90	11.545	<0.001**
	Insufficient budget								
	to carry out KYC		0.91	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	0.47	1.00 <sup>a</sup>	0.00	11.269	<0.001**
	measures	1.55	0.51	1.55	0.17	1.00	0.00	11.20)	10.001
	Shortage of staff								
	=	2.88 <sup>b</sup>	1.50	1.22 <sup>a</sup>	0.42	1.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.48	86.635	<0.001**
Resources Deficit	compliance	2.00	1.50	1.22	0.72	1.54	0.40	00.033	<0.001
on KYC	Account opening								
	and KYC								
	documentation	3.21 <sup>b</sup>	1 61	1.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.50	1.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	81.339	<0.001**
			1.04	1.33	0.50	1.54	0.40	01.339	<0.001
	involves huge								
Overall Resources I	paperwork	7.64 <sup>b</sup>	3 10	4.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.21	3.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.96	94.879	<0.001**
Overall Resources 1	Changing	7.04	5.17	7.10	1,41	5.00	0.70	77.013	<0.001
	Regulations	$3.36^{b}$	1.50	1.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	$2.02^{a}$	0.82	78.968	<0.001**
Operational	Inadequate	2 00b	1 20	1 65a	0.67	1 608	0.06	59.024	<0.001**
Challenges on		$2.90^{\rm b}$	1.30	1.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.96	58.024	<0.001**
KYC	Technology	2 00h	1.24	1.659	0.67	0.75h	1.72	44 241	.0.001.555
I	Ill prepared staff	2.98 <sup>b</sup>	1.34	1.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.67	2.75 <sup>b</sup>	1./3	44.341	<0.001**
	Lack of defined	$2.09^{b}$	1.34	1.44 <sup>a</sup>	0.68	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	0.96	12.970	<0.001**
	process								-
Overall Operation	11.33 <sup>c</sup>	4.68	6.39 <sup>a</sup>	2.59	8.14 <sup>b</sup>	3.75	59.069	<0.001**	
KYC									



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: <a href="www.ijsat.org">www.ijsat.org</a> • Email: editor@ijsat.org

Implementation	Lack of consistency	1.91 <sup>ab</sup>	1.27	1.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	2.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.44	3.220	0.041*
Issues on KYC	Time consuming	$3.25^{b}$	1.37	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.65	$2.02^{a}$	1.44	67.765	<0.001**
	Call for more automation	3.40°	1.50	1.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.65	2.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.38	70.473	<0.001**
Overall Implemen KYC	tation Issues on	8.55°	3.51	5.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.67	6.20 <sup>b</sup>	4.23	49.347	<0.001**

Source: Primary Data

#### **Credit Policy Regulations:**

The implementation issues exposed that opinion of public, new generation and private bank significantly differs from each other. Public sector faces issues such as increased number of defaulters; target oriented lending than other banks. Based on DMRT, the legal issues revealed that the opinion of public, private and new generation bank employees' significantly differing from each other. Challenges with regard to strict adherence to RBI guidelines are one of the legal challenges to public sector banks.

#### Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR)

NPA issues showed opinion of new generation and public bank employees did not differ but private bank employees' opinion was significantly different from other bank employees. Due to alteration in CRR that result in NPA challenge is more for public sector bank and new generation bank than private bank. The risk associated with CRR revealed public sector bank employees opinion differs from other banks but there was no significant difference in the opinion of private and new generation bank. Risk associated with CRR particularly liquidity risk challenge is higher for public sector bank than other banks.

#### **Statutory Liquidity Ratio (SLR)**

Issues related to NPA showed a significant difference among public, new generation and private bank each other. Alteration in SLR results in NPA challenge for public sector bank more than other banks. Risk associated with SLR revealed public sector, private and new generation bank employees' opinion significantly differing from each other due to the reason that liquidity risk associated with SLR is higher for public sector than private followed by new generation bank.

#### **Basel Norms**

Information technology challenges exhibited a difference in the opinion among public, private and new generation bank each other. Public sector bank face IT challenges more followed by new generation then by private banks. The opinion significantly differed from each other among new generation, public and private banks with respect to human resources challenge. New generation bank face human resource challenge in terms of lack of skilled people for reporting and calculations followed by public banks and then private banks. The data challenges exposed that the opinion significantly differed from each other among public, new generation, and private banks. Public sector bank has challenges related to data more than new generation followed by private bank.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 1% level

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at 5% level Different alphabet among type of bank denotes significant at

<sup>5%</sup> level using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT)



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

#### **Priority Sector Lending (PSL)**

The opinion of new generation, public and private bank employees significantly differ from each other in case of NPA challenge. New generation bank has higher NPA challenge than public sector and private sector due to borrower purchases defective assets. Implementation issues on PSL due to insufficient staff are more for public sector banks than new generation followed by private sector bank. Work burden exposed a difference of opinion among the different banks each other. More number of accounts cause work burden to public sector banks followed by new generation banks, then private sector bank.

#### **Anti-Money Laundering (AML)**

Resource challenge associated with AML is higher for public sector due to shortage of staff followed by new generation bank and private sector bank. There is no significant difference between private and new generation banks in case of lack of support from customers. Public sector has more challenges due to lack of support from the customer on AML regulations. Further Public sector employees lack training on AML regulations. They are not getting periodical training on AML measures. Implementation issues indicated a significant difference of opinion between public and other banks but private and new generation bank employees' opinion did not differ. Public sector banks have more challenges of AML related to implementation than other banks.

#### **Know Your Customer (KYC)**

KYC resource challenges more for public sector than other banks. With regard to operational challenges indicated a significant difference among public, private, new generation bank each other. KYC operational challenges are high for public sector banks. Implementation issues showed the opinion of public, private and new generation bank significantly differ from each other. Implementation issues for KYC are also high for public sector banks followed by new generation and private sector.

#### **Testing of Hypothesis**

**H**<sub>0</sub>: Public, Private and New Gen banks have similar level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory framework

**H<sub>1</sub>:** Public, Private and New Gen banks have different level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory framework

One - way ANOVA is used for testing the hypothesis.

Challenges Faced in the Compliance of Regulatory and Supervisory

#### Framework- One-way ANOVA

	Type o	f Ban	k						
Variable			Private		New G	en.	F-	<b>p</b> -	
v at lable			Sector				value	value	
						SD			
Overall Implementation Issues on Credit Policy	11 16 <sup>c</sup>	4.01	6 61 <sup>a</sup>	1 60	Q 16 <sup>b</sup>	2.50	95 612	<0.001**	
Issues on Credit Policy	11.40	4.01	0.01	1.09	6.10	2.50	65.015	<0.001	
Overall Legal Issues on	8 70°	2 12	6.99 <sup>b</sup>	2 22	5 57a	1 52	30.031	<0.001**	
Credit Policy	0.19	3.42	0.99	2.22	3.37	1.32	30.031	<0.001	
Overall Issues Relating	4.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.45	3.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.13	4.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.36	26.410	<0.001**	



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

ND A AIDI CD D	I	1	1	l	1	1	1	<u> </u>
to NPA/NPL on CRR								
Overall Risk Associated	8.32 <sup>b</sup>	2.48	6.22a	0.72	5.70 <sup>a</sup>	1.72	57.962	<0.001**
with CRR								
Overall Issues Relating	4.14 <sup>c</sup>	1.62	2.92ª	0.94	3.39 <sup>b</sup>	1.38	29.036	<0.001**
to NPA/NPL on SLR								
Overall Risk Associated	8.01 <sup>c</sup>	3.01	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.44	5.30 <sup>a</sup>	2.18	34.906	<0.001**
with SLR		3.01	0.22	0	0.00	2.10	5 1.500	10.001
Overall Information	7.42 <sup>c</sup>	2.34	3.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.52	5.75 <sup>b</sup>	2.62	122.400	<0.001**
Technology Basel Norms	7.12	2.3	3.55	1.02	0.70	2.02	122.100	10.001
Overall Human	9.40 <sup>b</sup>	3 48	4.16 <sup>a</sup>	1.60	10.36 <sup>c</sup>	3.26	127 672	<0.001**
Resources Basel Norms		3.10	1.10	1.00	10.50	3.20	127.072	<b>\0.001</b>
Overall Data Relating to	7 13 <sup>c</sup>	2 39	4.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.25	5.36 <sup>b</sup>	0.94	78.606	<0.001**
Basel Norms	7.13	2.37	1.55	1.23	5.50	0.51	70.000	<b>\0.001</b>
Overall Priority Sector								
Lending and NPA	6.36 <sup>b</sup>	2.53	4.97 <sup>a</sup>	1.70	$7.98^{c}$	1.66	31.056	<0.001**
Recovery								
Overall Implementation								
Issues on Priority Sector	$22.50^{c}$	5.90	13.61 <sup>a</sup>	5.12	15.73 <sup>b</sup>	3.39	112.712	<0.001**
Lending								
Overall Work Burden on	0 77°	3.62	5.27 <sup>a</sup>	1.99	7.25 <sup>b</sup>	1.56	85.960	<0.001**
Priority Sector Lending	9.11	3.02	3.27	1.99	1.23	1.50	83.900	<0.001
Overall Resources	6.02 <sup>b</sup>	2.64	3.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.12	5.70 <sup>b</sup>	2.40	36.143	<0.001**
Deficit on AML	0.02	2.04	3.62	1.12	3.70	2.40	30.143	<0.001
Overall Lack of								
Customer Support	8.81 <sup>b</sup>	3.02	$4.88^{a}$	1.37	$5.50^{a}$	0.79	109.288	<0.001**
Relating to AML								
Overall Lack of								
Sufficient Training	4.42 <sup>c</sup>	1.93	$2.79^{a}$	0.91	$3.68^{b}$	1.74	36.747	<0.001**
Relating to AML								
Overall Implementation	7 10b	2.70	C 128	1.70	5 77a	1.00	11 5 4 5	-0.001**
Issues on AML	7.19°	2.70	6.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.79	5.77 <sup>a</sup>	1.90	11.545	<0.001**
Overall Resources	7 c4h	2 10	4 109	1 01	2 (02	0.00	04.070	A 001 44
Deficit on KYC	7.64 <sup>b</sup>	5.19	4.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.21	$3.68^{a}$	0.96	94.879	<0.001**
Overall Operational	11 220	1.60	C 202	2.50	0 1 4h	2.75	50.050	.O. O.O.1 4-4
Challenges on KYC	11.33 <sup>c</sup>	4.68	6.39 <sup>a</sup>	2.59	8.14 <sup>b</sup>	3.75	59.069	<0.001**
Overall Implementation	0.550	2.51	5 O 49	1 67	c ooh	4.22	40.247	.O. O.O.1 starts
Issues on KYC	8.55°	3.51	5.04 <sup>a</sup>	1.67	$6.20^{\rm b}$	4.23	49.347	<0.001**
D 1	1	1	1	1	ı	1	1	

Source : Primary data

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant at 1% level

<sup>\*</sup> Significant at 5% level Different alphabet among type of bank denotes significant at 5% level using Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT)



E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

The overall effect of variables of different regulatory and supervisory framework are taken and it is found that majority of the variables related to challenges in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory frameworks are significant at 1% level (p<0.05). Hence the null hypothesis stating that all the types of commercial banks have similar level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory frameworks failed to accept i.e. all the all the types of commercial banks have different level of challenges in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory frameworks

#### **Findings**

Public, Private and New Gen commercial banks have different level of challenges in the compliance of regulatory and supervisory framework. Public sector banks face issues such as increased number of defaulters; target oriented lending more than the other banks related to credit policy. Alteration in CRR and SLR resulting in NPA challenge is more for public sector banks and new generation banks than private banks. New generation banks face human resource challenge in terms of lack of skilled person for reporting and calculations than public sector banks followed by private banks. Public sector banks have much data challenge than new generation banks followed by private banks. Implementation issues on PSL due to insufficient staff are more for public sector banks staff than new generation banks followed by private sector banks. Public sector banks have more implementation challenge on AML than other banks.KYC operational challenges are high for public sector banks

#### Recommendations

The bank management should take necessary steps to implement high technology in banks that helps in accessing data easily, strengthens the reporting function and increase the efficiency of banks. Employees should be well versed with IT applications used by the banks. Bank should introduce a mechanism to verify the genuineness of the information provided by the client. The authority should recruit sufficient human resources and provide necessary training to them.

#### Conclusion

From the above analysis it was found there were many issues and challenges that the banks confront in the compliances of regulatory and supervisory framework. The challenges of different regulations such as credit policy regulations, Basel norms, CRR, SLR, PSL, AML and KYC exposed average level. It is important to note that these regulations have certain consequences on commercial banks like the regulations affect the loan supply of banks, increase costs, affect the interest rate, profitability etc. It is recommended to implement high technology infrastructure to incorporate up to date regulations and give periodical training to employees in this regard.

#### References

- 1. Olajide Solomon Fadun. (2013). Implications and Challenges of Basel II Implementation in the Nigerian Banking System. IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM), Vol 7(4), pp 53-61. https://www.iosrjournals.org/iosr-jbm/papers/Vol7-issue4/G0745361.pdf
- 2. Chat Le Nguyen, (2014). International Anti Money Laundering Standards and Their Implementation by Vietnam [Dissertation, University of Cantebury]. https://ir.canterbury.ac.nz/bitstream/handle/10092/9827/thesis\_fulltext.pdf?sequence=1



- 3. Hayat, D. S., Angraeni, L., and Bakhtiar, T. (2017). Efficiency Analysis of Bank Branches: Production and Profitability Approaches (Case Study of Bank EFG Syariah). International Journal of Science and Research, Vol 6(2), pp 2078-2083. https://doi.org/10.31227/osf.io%2Fpqrga
- 4. Manjushree, S., and Dr. Giridhar, K.V. (2018). Problems and Prospects of Priority Sector Lending. Shanlax International Journal of Commerce, Vol6(2), pp 155-16.
- 5. http://www.shanlaxjournals.in/wp-content/uploads/com\_v6n2\_021.pdf
- 6. Tony Clark. (2018). Top 5 regulatory concerns currently facing financial institutions, World Finance The voice of the Market, May 14, 2018. https://www.worldfinance.com/strategy/top-five-regulatory-concerns-currently-facing-financial-institutions
- 7. Udhaya Sweetline, A. (2017). Priority Sector Lending in India An Analysis. International Journal of Current Advanced Research, Vol 6(11), pp 7829-7831,http://journalijcar.org/sites/default/files/issue-files/2951-A-2017.pdf
- 8. Veena, D., Bhavani Prasad, G. V. (2020). Priority Sector Lending by ublic and Private Sector Banks in India. International Journal of Management and Humanities (IJMH) Vol 4 (10), June.