

Effective Learning Through Small Group Teaching in Medical Education.

**Dr. Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant¹, Dr. Amit Manchanda²,
Dr. Shaheen Rizvi³, Dr. Priyatama Sharadkumar Sawant⁴,
Viren Sharadkumar Sawant⁵**

¹ Department of Anatomy, ⁴ Department of Ayush,
Prince Medical College and Hospital, Sikar, Rajasthan, India

² Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College and Hospital, Sikar, Rajasthan, India

³ Department of Anatomy, Geetanjali Medical College, Geetanjali University,
Udaipur, Rajasthan, India

⁵ Final Year M.D., Volgograd University Medical College, Russia.

Abstract

Medical education has undergone remarkable transformation in recent decades, shifting from traditional teacher-centered approaches toward more interactive, student-centered, and competency-based learning methods. Among the various educational strategies adopted worldwide, small group teaching has emerged as one of the most effective methods for improving student engagement, conceptual understanding, communication skills, and clinical reasoning. Unlike conventional large group teaching, which often limits interaction and active participation, small group teaching creates a dynamic educational environment that encourages discussion, critical thinking, collaborative learning, and individualized attention.

Small group teaching facilitates active participation of students and promotes deeper understanding of complex medical concepts through interaction with faculty members and peers. It enables students to clarify doubts freely, express opinions confidently, and develop analytical and problem-solving abilities essential for medical practice. Furthermore, this teaching approach enhances professional skills such as teamwork, leadership, empathy, communication, and self-directed learning, all of which are indispensable qualities in healthcare professionals.

In the present era of competency-based medical education, small group teaching has gained increasing importance because it promotes integration of theoretical knowledge with clinical application. It also improves retention, motivation, and student satisfaction while reducing passive learning and academic stress commonly associated with large classroom lectures. Although large group teaching remains useful for delivering information to large numbers of students, small group teaching offers superior educational outcomes in terms of participation, interaction, and personalized learning.

The present article highlights the importance of small group teaching over large group teaching in medical education and discusses its advantages, educational impact, challenges, and future relevance in developing competent and compassionate healthcare professionals.

Keywords: Small Group Teaching, Large Group Teaching, Medical Education, Student-Centered Learning, Competency-Based Education, Interactive Learning, Medical Students.

1. Introduction

Medical education forms the foundation for preparing competent, ethical, and compassionate healthcare professionals. Traditionally, medical teaching has largely relied upon large group lectures in which a single teacher delivers information to a large number of students simultaneously. Although this method allows efficient dissemination of knowledge, it often limits active student participation, interaction, critical thinking, and individualized learning experiences. (1)

Over the years, educational philosophies have evolved considerably, emphasizing active learning, competency development, and student-centered approaches. Modern medical curricula now focus not only on acquisition of theoretical knowledge but also on the development of communication skills, clinical reasoning, professionalism, teamwork, leadership, and lifelong learning abilities. These objectives are difficult to achieve through passive lecture-based teaching alone. (2)

Small group teaching has therefore emerged as an important educational strategy in medical education. In this method, students learn in smaller interactive groups under the guidance of a facilitator or faculty member. Small group teaching may include tutorials, seminars, case-based discussions, problem-based learning, bedside teaching, practical demonstrations, and interactive sessions. (3)

Unlike large group lectures, small group teaching encourages students to participate actively in discussions, ask questions without hesitation, share ideas openly, and engage in collaborative learning. It creates a supportive academic environment that enhances understanding, retention, motivation, and self-confidence. Moreover, students receive personalized attention and immediate feedback, which contributes significantly to academic improvement and professional development. (4)

In competency-based medical education, where emphasis is placed on skill acquisition and clinical application, small group teaching plays a crucial role in bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical implementation. The present article aims to discuss the importance of small group teaching over large group teaching in medical education and its role in improving learning outcomes and professional competency among medical students. (5)

Small Group Teaching in Medical Education

Small group teaching refers to an educational approach in which a limited number of students interact closely with a teacher or facilitator to achieve specific learning objectives. Typically, the group consists of 8–15 students, allowing effective communication and active participation.

This method includes various teaching-learning activities such as:

- i. Tutorials
- ii. Problem-based learning (PBL)
- iii. Case discussions
- iv. Clinical bedside teaching
- v. Demonstrations and practical sessions
- vi. Team-based learning
- vii. Seminars and group presentations
- viii. Skill-based training sessions

Small group teaching focuses on active learning rather than passive listening. Students are encouraged to think critically, analyze problems, participate in discussions, and apply theoretical concepts to clinical situations. (6)

Limitations of Large Group Teaching

Large group teaching, particularly lecture-based teaching, has traditionally been used in medical institutions because it allows one teacher to address a large number of students simultaneously. However, this method has several limitations.

1. Passive Learning

Students often become passive listeners during lectures, resulting in reduced attention span and limited retention of information.

2. Limited Interaction

Large classrooms restrict interaction between teachers and students, making it difficult for students to clarify doubts or participate actively.

3. Lack of Individual Attention

Teachers are unable to address the learning needs of individual students in large groups.

4. Reduced Student Engagement

Students may lose motivation and concentration during prolonged didactic lectures.

5. Minimal Development of Communication Skills

Large group teaching provides fewer opportunities for discussion, presentation, teamwork, and communication skill development.

6. Difficulty in Assessing Understanding

Teachers may find it challenging to assess whether students have understood the concepts adequately. (7)

Advantages of Small Group Teaching

1. Active Participation

Small group teaching promotes active involvement of students in the learning process. Students participate in discussions, answer questions, and engage in collaborative problem-solving, which improves understanding and retention.

2. Better Teacher–Student Interaction

The small group environment facilitates close interaction between faculty members and students. Teachers can identify individual learning difficulties and provide immediate clarification and guidance.

3. Improved Conceptual Understanding

Discussion-based learning enhances comprehension of difficult medical concepts. Students learn by exchanging ideas and analyzing clinical problems collectively.

4. Development of Critical Thinking

Small group teaching encourages analytical thinking, clinical reasoning, and decision-making skills that are essential for medical practice.

5. Enhancement of Communication Skills

Students gain confidence in speaking, presenting, discussing, and interacting with peers and teachers. These skills are extremely important for doctor–patient communication and teamwork.

6. Encouragement of Self-Directed Learning

Students become more responsible for their own learning and are motivated to prepare, research, and contribute actively to group discussions.

7. Better Retention and Academic Performance

Studies have shown that active learning methods improve memory retention and academic outcomes compared to passive lecture-based teaching.

8. Promotion of Teamwork and Professionalism

Collaborative learning helps students develop teamwork, leadership qualities, mutual respect, and professional behavior.

9. Reduced Fear and Anxiety

Students often feel more comfortable expressing doubts and opinions in smaller groups, thereby reducing hesitation and academic stress.

10. Clinical Integration

Small group discussions allow integration of basic sciences with clinical applications, making learning more meaningful and clinically relevant. (8)

DISCUSSION

The transition from traditional teacher-centered education to student-centered learning represents one of the most significant reforms in modern medical education. Small group teaching has emerged as a highly effective strategy because it aligns closely with the principles of competency-based medical education and adult learning theories. (9)

The educational benefits of small group teaching are substantial. Students who actively participate in discussions tend to develop deeper understanding and better retention of knowledge compared to those who passively attend lectures. Interactive learning stimulates curiosity, analytical thinking, and intellectual engagement, which are essential for lifelong learning in medicine. (10)

Another major advantage of small group teaching is the improvement in communication and interpersonal skills. Medical professionals are expected to communicate effectively with patients, relatives, colleagues, and healthcare teams. Small group interactions provide an ideal platform for developing these essential professional competencies. (11)

Problem-based and case-based discussions conducted in small groups also improve clinical reasoning abilities. Students learn to analyze patient problems systematically, formulate differential diagnoses, and apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations. Such learning experiences are invaluable in preparing students for clinical practice. (12)

Despite its advantages, implementation of small group teaching may present certain challenges. It requires increased faculty involvement, adequate infrastructure, careful planning, and effective facilitation skills. In institutions with large student intake and limited faculty strength, organizing small group sessions may be difficult. Nevertheless, the educational benefits far outweigh these challenges. (13)

Large group lectures still retain importance for introducing broad concepts and delivering foundational knowledge efficiently. However, they should ideally be supplemented with interactive small group sessions to enhance understanding and application of knowledge. (14)

In the present era of medical education reform, a balanced integration of lectures, demonstrations, practical sessions, and small group learning appears to be the most effective educational strategy.

CONCLUSION

Small group teaching represents a highly effective and student-centered approach in modern medical education. Compared to traditional large group teaching, it promotes active participation, critical thinking, conceptual understanding, communication skills, teamwork, and self-directed learning. It also enhances clinical reasoning and facilitates integration of theoretical knowledge with practical application.

Although large group lectures remain useful for delivering information to large numbers of students, they are often limited by passive learning and reduced interaction. Small group teaching overcomes these limitations by creating an engaging and supportive learning environment that addresses individual student needs and promotes professional competency.

Medical institutions should therefore encourage and strengthen small group teaching methodologies within competency-based curricula to improve the quality of medical education and produce knowledgeable, skilled, ethical, and compassionate healthcare professionals.

Declaration by Authors

Ethical Approval: Approved

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to Dr. Piyush Sunda, Paediatrician, Chairman of Prince Eduhub and Prince Hospital, and Dr. Ramratan Yadav, Consultant Surgeon and Professor at Government Medical College, Sikar. The authors are also thankful to the faculty and staff of the Department of Anatomy, Prince Medical College, for their continuous support and encouragement throughout the study. Special appreciation is extended to the body donors and their families whose noble contribution to medical education continues to inspire generations of future doctors. Authors also acknowledge the immense help received from the scholars whose articles are cited and included in references of this manuscript. The authors are also grateful to authors / editors / publishers of all those articles, journals and books from where the literature for this article has been reviewed and discussed.

Source of Funding: None.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Authors' contributions: Sharadkumar Pralhad Sawant (SPS) conceptualized the study, designed the framework of the manuscript, and prepared the original draft with critical intellectual inputs. Priyatama S. Sawant (PSS) substantially assisted in scientific writing, organization of content, and refinement of the manuscript. Viren S. Sawant (VSS) carried out an extensive and systematic review of the relevant literature and contributed to the compilation of scholarly references. S. Rizvi (SR) performed meticulous proofreading, language editing, grammatical corrections, and Amit Manchanda (AM) done plagiarism assessment to ensure the academic integrity and originality of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

References (Vancouver Style)

1. Harden RM, Laidlaw JM. Essential Skills for a Medical Teacher: An Introduction to Teaching and Learning in Medicine. 2nd ed. London: Elsevier; 2017.
2. Spencer JA, Jordan RK. Learner centred approaches in medical education. *BMJ*. 1999;318(7193):1280-3.
3. Srinivasan M, Wilkes M, Stevenson F, Nguyen T, Slavin S. Comparing problem-based learning with case-based learning: Effects on knowledge and satisfaction. *Acad Med*. 2007;82(1):74-82.
4. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Study of Students' Perception on Teaching Methodologies in Anatomy, *Journal of Science (MED)* Vol 5, Issue 6, 2015, Page No. 430-432.
5. Michaelsen LK, Parmelee DX, McMahon KK, Levine RE. *Team-Based Learning for Health Professions Education*. Virginia: Stylus Publishing; 2008.
6. Azer SA. Challenges facing PBL tutors: 12 tips for successful group facilitation. *Med Teach*. 2005;27(8):676-81.



7. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Perception Of First MBBS Students On Methods Used For Teaching Anatomy, *European Journal Of Pharmaceutical And Medical Research*, EJPMR, May 2015, Vol 2, Issue 3, Page No. 320-326.
8. Jaques D. *Teaching Small Groups*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education; 2003.
9. Prince KJAH, Van de Wiel MWJ, Scherpbier AJJA, Van der Vleuten CPM, Boshuizen HPA. A qualitative analysis of the transition from theory to practice in undergraduate training in a PBL-medical school. *Adv Health Sci Educ Theory Pract*. 2000;5(2):105-16.
10. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Small Group Teaching in Anatomy, *International Journal of Research And Reviews In Pharmacy And Applied Sciences*, Vol 5, Issue1, 2015, Page No. 1189-1192,
11. McLean M. Sometimes we do get it right! Early clinical contact is a rewarding experience. *Educ Health*. 2004;17(1):42-52.
12. Kaufman DM. Applying educational theory in practice. *BMJ*. 2003;326(7382):213-6.
13. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Simple Approach Of Teaching Anatomy To Undergraduate Students, *International Journal of Research And Reviews In Pharmacy And Applied Sciences*, Vol 5, Issue1, 2015, Page No. 1193—1197.
14. Dr. S. P. Sawant, Dr. S. Rizvi, Study of passive didactic teacher centered approach & an active student centered approach in teaching Anatomy, *International Journal of Anatomy and Research*, 2015, Vol 3, Issue 3, Page No 1192-97. Open Access, Peer Reviewed, Quarterly Publishing Online/Print Journal SCOPUS, Index Medicus for South East Asia Region (IMSER), Sherpa Romeo, SC Imago Journal & Country Rank, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.16965/ijar.2015.147>