International Journal on Science and Technology
One Day National Seminar on
"Advances in Life Sciences for Diversity, Applications, and Human Welfare"
(ALSDAHW – 2025)
Organized by Department of Microbiology & Department of Biotechnology
M.V.S. Government Arts & Science College (Autonomous), Mahabubnagar-509001
E-ISSN: 2229-7677 • Website: www.ijsat.org • Email: editor@ijsat.org

Advances in Molecular, Digital, and Remote Sensing Technologies for Early Crop Disease Detection: A Comprehensive Review

G. Bhagirath[1], K. Deepthi[2]

[1]Department of Botany, Government Degree College, Rangasaipet, Warangal, TG, India
[2]Department of Computer Science and AI, SR University, Hanamkonda-TG, India

Abstract
Crop diseases caused by diverse pathogens, including fungi, bacteria, and viruses, **lead to result in** global yield losses of nearly 20–40% each year, posing a **major significant** threat to food security and agricultural sustainability.Traditional detection methods, relying on visual inspection and routine laboratory assays, are often slow, labour-intensive, and prone to inaccuracies, resulting in delayed disease management. By enabling quick, precise, and scalable detection systems, recent advancements in molecular biology, digital technologies, and remote sensing have completely transformed the field of crop disease diagnostics.

Molecular techniques such as real-time PCR, loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), and CRISPR-based assays (e.g., SHERLOCK) offer high sensitivity and specificity, allowing early on-site pathogen identification. Digital technologies driven by artificial intelligence, including smartphone-based diagnostic tools and convolutional neural networks (CNNs), now achieve over 95% accuracy in image-based disease recognition, making advanced diagnostics more accessible to farmers. Remote sensing approachesparticularly drone-assisted hyperspectral and multispectral imagingfacilitate non-invasive, large-scale monitoring and early detection of disease outbreaks across agricultural landscapes. Additionally, metagenomics and next-generation sequencing (NGS) enable the discovery of novel pathogens and support resistance-breeding programs through comprehensive genomic insights.

Collectively, these innovative technologies enhance the speed, precision, and cost-effectiveness of crop disease detection, potentially reducing yield losses by up to 30% and promoting sustainable agriculture. This review highlights the principles, recent advancements, advantages, limitations, and prospects of integrating molecular, digital, and remote sensing tools to strengthen global crop health management systems.

Keywords: Crop disease detection; Molecular diagnostics; Artificial intelligence (AI); Remote sensing; Next-generation sequencing (NGS); Sustainable agriculture.

International Journal on Science and Technology
One Day National Seminar on
"Advances in Life Sciences for Diversity, Applications, and Human Welfare"
(ALSDAHW – 2025)
Organized by Department of Microbiology & Department of Biotechnology
M.V.S. Government Arts & Science College (Autonomous), Mahabubnagar-509001
E-ISSN: 2229-7677 ● Website: www.ijsat.org ● Email: editor@ijsat.org

1. Introduce the Problem.

Crop diseases caused by fungi, bacteria, viruses, and other pathogenic organisms continue to threaten agricultural production across the world. Current estimates indicate that such diseases are responsible for **20–40% annual crop yield losses**, significantly affecting global food availability, farmer livelihoods, and economic stability (Savary et al., 2019). This burden is especially severe in staple crops such as rice, wheat, maize, soybean, and potato, which are essential to global food security (Flood, 2010). According to international assessments, plant diseases account for billions of dollars in economic damage each year and contribute to food insecurity in low- and middle-income regions (FAO, 2019).

The threat of crop diseases has become even more pressing due to accelerating climate change, which influences disease incidence and severity. Changes in temperature, rainfall, and humidity have altered the distribution and survival of many pests and pathogens, enabling them to expand into new geographic areas (Bebber et al., 2013). Warmer climates and shifting environmental patterns also create favourable conditions for emerging and re-emerging diseases, increasing the frequency and intensity of outbreaks (Bebber, 2015). Additionally, modern agricultural intensification and global trade have facilitated faster movement of infected plant materials, turning previously localised diseases into transboundary threats (Strange & Scott, 2005).

Traditional methods of disease detection, often reliant on visual inspection, symptom recognition, and basic laboratory assays, are limited in sensitivity and speed. These methods typically identify infections only after symptoms become visible, by which time pathogens may have already spread widely within the field (West et al., 2017). Such delays hinder timely intervention and increase the likelihood of significant yield losses. Consequently, the need for early, accurate, and scalable disease detection technologies has become a critical component of sustainable crop management.

In recent years, several technological advancements have revolutionised crop disease diagnostics. Molecular approaches, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR), immunoassays, and CRISPR-based detection tools, have improved the sensitivity and precision of pathogen identification (Savary et al., 2019). Digital tools, particularly machine learning and deep learning models, now enable automated classification of diseases using image-based data. Furthermore, remote sensing technologies employing drones, satellites, and hyperspectral imaging systems allow non-invasive, large-scale monitoring of crop health (West et al., 2017). Together, these innovations have the potential to transform disease surveillance, reduce crop losses, and enhance the sustainability of agricultural systems.

Objectives:

1. **To evaluate the extent of yield losses caused by major crop diseases** and identify the most economically significant pathogens affecting global food production.
2. **To analyse the underlying factors that contribute to the emergence and spread of crop diseases**, including climate change, intensive agriculture, trade, and ecological disturbances.
3. **To assess current disease-management approaches**—such as chemical control, biological agents, resistant cultivars, and integrated pest management (IPM)—and determine their effectiveness and limitations.

International Journal on Science and Technology
One Day National Seminar on
"Advances in Life Sciences for Diversity, Applications, and Human Welfare"
(ALSDAHW – 2025)
Organized by Department of Microbiology & Department of Biotechnology
M.V.S. Government Arts & Science College (Autonomous), Mahabubnagar-509001
E-ISSN: 2229-7677 ● Website: www.ijsat.org ● Email: editor@ijsat.org

4. **To explore modern diagnostic and surveillance technologies**, including molecular markers, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence–based tools, for early detection and prediction of crop diseases.
5. **To recommend sustainable, eco-friendly, and scalable strategies** that can reduce disease burden, minimise yield losses, and strengthen global food security systems.
6. **To highlight policy-level interventions** required to support farmers, enhance preparedness, and improve resilience against future plant-disease outbreaks.

2. Explain the Limitations of Traditional Methods

Traditional approaches to identifying and managing crop diseases rely heavily on field-based visual inspections, farmer experience, and basic laboratory testing. Although these methods have been widely used for decades, they present several limitations that reduce their effectiveness in modern agricultural systems.

First, **visual inspection is inherently subjective and prone to human error**. The accuracy of diagnosis depends largely on the observer's expertise, the complexity of symptoms, and the stage of disease development. Early infections often show subtle or non-specific symptoms, making them difficult to detect through visual methods alone (Bock et al., 2010). As a result, diseases may spread widely before they are noticed.

Second, traditional inspection methods are **time-consuming and labor-intensive**. Large agricultural fields require extensive manual monitoring, which is often impractical for smallholder farmers who lack adequate manpower, and for commercial farms where timely detection is crucial (Pethybridge & Nelson, 2015). Delays in disease identification can significantly increase crop losses.

Third, **many pathogens require laboratory confirmation**, including microscopic observation or culturing, which is expensive and results in slow turnaround times. For small and resource-limited farming communities, access to diagnostic laboratories is limited, causing further delays in disease management (Liakos et al., 2018).

Fourth, relying solely on symptom expression can be misleading. **Environmental stress, nutrient deficiencies, and pest damage** often mimic disease-like symptoms, leading to misdiagnosis and inappropriate management practices (Mahlein, 2016). Such errors result in unnecessary pesticide use, increased production costs, and environmental harm.

Overall, the shortcomings of traditional methods underscore the need for advanced, rapid, and accurate diagnostic technologies to support sustainable agriculture and reduce yield losses.

3. Describe Molecular Diagnostic Advances

Molecular Diagnostic Advances (Plagiarism-Free Explanation)

Recent progress in molecular biology has transformed plant disease detection by enabling rapid, specific, and highly sensitive identification of pathogens. These technologies overcome the delays and inaccuracies associated with traditional diagnostic methods and support early disease management in agricultural systems.

3.1. Real-Time PCR (qPCR)

Real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) is one of the most influential advancements in molecular diagnostics. It allows **quantification of pathogen DNA or RNA in real time**, making it significantly faster and more accurate than conventional PCR methods. qPCR is highly sensitive and can detect pathogens even at very low concentrations, long before visible symptoms appear (Schena et al., 2013). Its specificity is maintained through the use of fluorescent probes or SYBR Green chemistry, enabling early and precise disease detection in field samples.

3.2. Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP)

LAMP has become a powerful alternative to PCR because it operates at a **constant temperature**, eliminating the need for thermal cycling equipment. This makes LAMP particularly suitable for **on-site or field diagnostics**. The technique provides results within 30–60 minutes and is resistant to inhibitors commonly found in crude plant extracts (Notomi et al., 2000). Visual detection through colour changes or turbidity further simplifies its use by farmers and extension workers, making LAMP a practical tool for rapid pathogen screening in rural settings.

3.3. CRISPR-Based Diagnostics (e.g., SHERLOCK)

CRISPR/Cas systems, originally developed for gene editing, have recently been adapted for pathogen detection. Diagnostic platforms such as **SHERLOCK (Specific High-Sensitivity Enzymatic Reporter Unlocking)** utilize Cas enzymes to identify pathogen nucleic acids with extremely high sensitivity and specificity (Gootenberg et al., 2017). These assays can detect single molecules of DNA or RNA, and results can be visualized using simple lateral-flow strips. CRISPR-based tests are portable, inexpensive, and ideal for **rapid on-site detection**, making them highly promising tools for precision agriculture.

3.4. Explain Digital & AI-Based Advancements

Digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) have transformed crop disease detection by enabling rapid, user-friendly, and highly accurate diagnosis directly in the field. These tools overcome the limitations of visual inspection and provide farmers with real-time decision support.

Smartphone-Based Detection

Smartphone applications have become powerful tools for early disease recognition because they combine portability, affordability, and built-in imaging capability. Modern phones with high-resolution cameras can capture detailed images of diseased leaves, stems, or fruits. AI-driven apps process these images instantly and provide diagnostic results along with management recommendations (Ferentinos, 2018).Since most farmers already own smartphones, these tools offer **low-cost, accessible** options for real-time field diagnosis without requiring laboratory support.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for Image Recognition

Deep learning, especially **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)**, has revolutionized automated plant disease detection. CNNs learn complex visual patterns from thousands of annotated images and can distinguish between healthy and diseased plant tissues with very high accuracy. Studies report that CNN models can achieve **accuracy levels exceeding 95%** for several major crop

diseases (Mohanty et al., 2016). These models are capable of identifying subtle discolourations, texture changes, and lesion characteristics that may not be easily recognised by the human eye.
CNNs are now integrated into smartphone apps, drones, and field-based sensors, allowing farmers and agronomists to obtain instant, objective, and highly reliable diagnoses.

4. Remote Sensing Technologies for Crop Disease Detection:
Remote sensing has become a vital tool in modern agriculture, offering large-scale, non-invasive, and real-time monitoring of crop health. Unlike traditional field scouting, remote sensing allows continuous surveillance of vast agricultural landscapes, enabling early identification of disease outbreaks before visible symptoms appear.

4.1. Drone-Based Monitoring
Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), commonly known as drones, are increasingly used to capture high-resolution images of crop fields. Equipped with RGB, multispectral, or thermal sensors, drones can detect variations in plant colour, canopy temperature, and leaf reflectance that signal early disease stress (Sankaran et al., 2010).Because drones can fly at low altitudes and collect fine-scale data, they offer superior precision compared to satellite imagery. This makes them especially valuable for detecting disease hotspots, guiding targeted interventions, and minimising yield losses.

4.2. Hyperspectral Imaging
Hyperspectral imaging is one of the most advanced remote sensing technologies for plant disease detection. It captures reflectance data across hundreds of narrow spectral bands, allowing detection of subtle biochemical and physiological changes in plants that occur before symptoms are visible (Mahlein, 2016). Each disease alters the plant's spectral signature in a unique way, enabling accurate discrimination between healthy and infected tissues. Hyperspectral imaging can be deployed using drones, aircraft, or ground-based platforms, making it highly versatile.

4.3 Benefits of Remote Sensing
- Enables **large-scale monitoring** of entire fields
- Allows **early detection** of pathogen-induced stress
- Provides **non-destructive** and repeated measurements
- Supports precision agriculture by identifying localised infection zones
- Helps optimise pesticide use and reduce input costs

Overall, remote sensing technologies greatly enhance farmers' ability to detect, map, and manage crop diseases efficiently, contributing to timely responses and improved sustainability

5. Mention NGS and Metagenomics Contributions
NGS and Metagenomics Contributions (Plagiarism-Free Explanation)
Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) and metagenomics have transformed plant disease diagnostics by enabling comprehensive, high-resolution analysis of plant-associated microbial communities. These

techniques allow researchers to detect known pathogens, discover emerging or previously unidentified ones, and understand the molecular basis of plant–pathogen interactions.

5.1. Discovery of New and Emerging Pathogens

NGS allows rapid sequencing of entire genomes or large sets of DNA fragments, making it possible to detect pathogens without prior knowledge of their identity. Unlike traditional methods that require culturing or specific primers, NGS analyses all genetic material present in a sample.

This **unbiased detection** is critical for identifying new viruses, fungi, and bacteria that may be responsible for unexplained disease outbreaks (Adams et al., 2017). Metagenomic sequencing helps reconstruct pathogen profiles from complex environmental samples, making it a powerful tool during epidemic events.

5.2. Identification of Resistance Genes

NGS also plays an important role in crop improvement by enabling the identification of **plant resistance genes** involved in defending against pathogens. By comparing the genomes and transcriptomes of resistant and susceptible varieties, researchers can pinpoint genes associated with immunity or tolerance (Bolger et al., 2014).These insights accelerate breeding programs by helping breeders select or engineer varieties with durable resistance.

5.3. Understanding Microbiome–Pathogen Interactions

Metagenomics provides insights into the broader plant microbiome, revealing how microbial communities influence disease development or suppression. Such information is valuable for designing biologically based disease management strategies, including the use of beneficial microbes.

5.4 Benefits of NGS and Metagenomics

- Detect both known and novel pathogens
- Provide high-resolution genetic information
- Support early-warning surveillance systems
- Accelerate development of disease-resistant crop varieties
- Improve understanding of plant–microbe ecology

Together, NGS and metagenomics contribute significantly to modern plant pathology by enabling precise, rapid, and data-driven disease management approaches.

6. Conclude with Overall Significance

The integration of molecular diagnostics, artificial intelligence, and remote sensing marks a transformative shift in modern crop health management. Molecular tools such as PCR, LAMP, and CRISPR-based assays provide highly specific and rapid detection of pathogens at the genetic level, enabling early intervention before disease symptoms intensify. Digital innovations, including smartphone-based applications and deep learning models like CNNs, offer fast, accurate, and farmer-friendly disease recognition in the field. Meanwhile, remote sensing technologies, supported by drones and hyperspectral imaging, allow large-scale monitoring and early identification of disease hotspots across agricultural landscapes.

Together, these technologies create a robust and complementary system for disease surveillance, supporting precision agriculture and reducing yield losses. By enabling earlier diagnosis, improving detection accuracy, and facilitating timely management decisions, these integrated approaches strengthen global efforts toward sustainable crop production and long-term food security.

References

1. Adams, I. P., Glover, R. H., Monger, W. A., Mumford, R., Jackeviciene, E., Navalinskiene, M., ... & Boonham, N. (2017). Next-generation sequencing and metagenomic analysis: A diagnostic tool in plant virology. Plant Pathology, 66(5), 807–818.
2. Bebber, D. P. (2015). Range-expanding pests and pathogens in a warming world. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 53, 335–356.
3. Bebber, D. P., Ramotowski, M. A. T., & Gurr, S. J. (2013). Crop pests and pathogens move polewards in a warming world. Nature Climate Change, 3(11), 985–988.
4. Bock, C. H., Poole, G. H., Parker, P. E., & Gottwald, T. R. (2010). Plant disease severity estimated visually, by digital photography and image analysis, and by hyperspectral imaging. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences, 29(2), 59–107.
5. Bolger, A., Scossa, F., Bolger, M. E., Lanz, C., Maumus, F., Tohge, T., ... & Fernie, A. R. (2014). The genome of the octoploid strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) provides insights into disease resistance and fruit quality. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111(45), 15777–15782.
6. Flood, J. (2010). The importance of plant health to food security. Food Security, 2, 215–231.
7. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). (2019). The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World 2019. FAO, Rome.
8. Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Lee, J. W., Essletzbichler, P., Dy, A. J., Joung, J., Verdine, V., et al. (2017). Nucleic acid detection with CRISPR-Cas13a/C2c2. Science, 356(6336), 438–442.
9. Liakos, K. G., Busato, P., Moshou, D., Pearson, S., & Bochtis, D. (2018). Machine learning in agriculture: A review. Sensors, 18(8), 2674.
10. Mahlein, A.-K. (2016). Plant disease detection by imaging sensors–Parallels and specific demands for precision agriculture and plant phenotyping. Plant Disease, 100(2), 241–251.
11. Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N., & Hase, T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Research, 28(12), e63.
12. Pethybridge, S. J., & Nelson, S. C. (2015). Estimating crop disease losses. In G. L. Hartman et al. (Eds.), Plant Disease Epidemiology (pp. 53–68). The American Phytopathological Society.
13. Sankaran, S., Mishra, A., Ehsani, R., & Davis, C. (2010). A review of advanced techniques for detecting plant diseases. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 72(1), 1–13.
14. Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Pethybridge, S. J., Esker, P., McRoberts, N., & Nelson, A. (2019). The global burden of pathogens and pests on major food crops. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3(3), 430–439.
15. Schena, L., Li Destri Nicosia, M. G., Sanzani, S. M., Faedda, R., Ippolito, A., & Cacciola, S. O. (2013). Development of quantitative PCR detection systems for plant pathogenic fungi and oomycetes. Journal of Plant Pathology, 95(1), 7–24.

16. Strange, R. N., & Scott, P. R. (2005). Plant disease: A threat to global food security. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 43, 83–116.
17. West, J., Bravo, C., Oberti, R., Lemaire-Chamley, M., & McCartney, H. (2017). The role of remote sensing in plant disease detection. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 55, 483–510.